Determination of Compost Maturity by Rotary Drum Composting of Organic Solid Waste

Sivaguru P
Assistant Professor
Department of Civil Engineering
University College of Engineering, Pattukkottai, Rajamadam, Tamil Nadu – 614701, India

Abstract— Studies are conducted to evaluate the stability of compost prepared by three combinations (C/N 16, 22 and 30) of grass cutting, mix vegetable waste, cattle dung, food waste, and paper waste and saw waste in a rotary drum composter. Variations in key stability parameters were observed to assess the stability of compost. The decrease in CO2 evolution rates for the C/N 16, 22 and 30 strongly recommended the viability of rotary drum for all kind of municipal organic waste with different C/N ratios. Results indicated the compost of C/N 22 with lower final Oxygen Uptake Rate (OUR), Biochemical Oxygen Demand (BOD) and Chemical Oxygen Demand (COD) can be considered as the very mature compost with, and were ready for usage as a soil conditioner. Therefore, it can be suggested that rotary drum composting of mixed organic waste at initial C/N ratio of 22 can produce stable compost within 20 days of composting.

Keywords: Organic Solid Waste, Composting Technique, Volatile Solids (VS)

I. INTRODUCTION

Composting technique is defined as a biological conversion process that converts organic matter into useful manure substances. Composting technique is the cheapest and environment friendly method which can be used for sewage sludge treatment and disposal [1]. An efficient and promising technique in decentralized composting is the rotary drum composting that produces a consistent and homogenous end product without any odor or leachate related problems. The rotary drum reactor can be used for organic waste composting of raw municipal solid waste (MSW) prior to other conventional composting techniques [2]. Rotary drum provides agitation, aeration and mixing of the compost, to produce a consistent and uniform end product. Due to its decentralized processing of the waste with complete mixing and aeration, the time required for the process will be reduced gradually. Stability of the compost is based on the organic matter degradation and its stability during the composting process. In warm, moist environments with ample amount of oxygen and organic material available, aerobic microbes flourish and decompose the waste at a quicker pace [3, 4]. It can be fitted to handle a continuous flow of waste and have been used to compost such diverse organic wastes as cattle manure, swine manure, municipal biosolids, brewery sludge, chicken litter, animal mortalities and food residuals [2, 5]. Kalamdhad and Kazmi [2] has reported that a maximum of 70% reduction in the input volume of the waste can be achieved by the in vessel systems. Furthermore the output material can be successfully applied as soil conditioner. Even though rotary drum composting is a proven-technology that can be applied on the spot, there are many aspects that should be improved in the performance of current composting facilities [6]. Composting is a natural aerobic process by which the microorganisms act upon the organic matter to transform them into a complex metastable compound (Humic substances) with the release of by-products such as CO2, H2O. The final product is free of viable human and plant pathogens and plant seeds that do not attract insects or vectors, that can be handled and stored without nuisance, and that is beneficial to the growth of plants. Characterized by moderate and almost unchanging microbial growth, stability is an essential parameter for compost quality assessment [7, 8]. Compost stability can be defined as the extent to which readily biodegradable material has decomposed. Compost is considered unstable if it contains a high proportion of biodegradable matter that may sustain high microbial activity. If the material contains mainly recalcitrant or humus-like matter, it is not able to sustain microbial activity and therefore, it is considered stable. Compost stability is important for product quality assessment, as it affects the response of plants to compost application and its potential for odor generation and pathogen re-growth. Stability prevents nutrients from becoming tied up in rapid microbial growth, allowing them to be available for plant needs. Therefore, it is essential to check the stability of compost produced by rotary drum composter to ensure about the technology and operational performance. Different methods for measuring stability, based on physical (temperature, aeration demand, odor and color, optical density of water extracts), chemical (volatile solids, C/N ratio, COD, polysaccharides, humic like substances, etc.) and biological (respiration measured either as O2 consumption, CO2 production or heat generation, enzyme activities, ATP content, seed germination and plant growth, etc.) characteristics of composts have been proposed, but none has found universal acceptance. Current thought is that respirometric techniques are well suited for compost stability measurement. Many researchers have done studies on stability of compost using either physico-chemical and/or biological methods [7, 9, 10, 11, 12]. The above-mentioned investigations generally dealt with the windrows and static piles types of composting for various kinds of wastes. However, information on stability of compost in rotary drum for the mixed organic wastes is rather limited. While the goals of rotary drum composting technique is to stabilize the compost as rapidly as possible. Hence, it is essential to check the stability to asses the composting process within rotary drum.

Evaluation of compost stability in a rotary drum composter using different waste combinations of same kinds of wastes has already studied [13]. Therefore, the objective of this study is to evaluate the stability of compost in a rotary drum composter using different waste mixtures (C/N 16, C/N 22 and C/N 30) of different kinds of wastes. The emission of ammonia gas is usually very high at low C/N ratios of sewage sludge [14, 15]. Hence the loss of ammonia during composting process should be controlled to enhance the agronomic value of the compost and to reduce atmospheric pollution. The stability of compost is assessed by monitoring physico-chemical and microbial parameters viz. temperature, volatile solids, CO_2, OUR, BOD and COD. The results of this study would be very useful in selecting the best possible combination of wastes to achieve stable compost within short duration.

II. Materials and Methods

In order to study the compost dynamics, a rotary drum composter of 250 L capacity was used [2]. The main unit of the composter, i.e. the drum is of 0.92 m in length and 0.9 m in diameter, made up of a 4 mm thick metal sheet. The inner side of the drum is covered by anti-corrosive coating. The drum is mounted on four rubber rollers attached to metal stand and the drum is rotated manually. In order to provide the appropriate mixing of wastes, 40 mm angles are welded longitudinally inside the drum. One rotation at a time on daily basis was made to ensure that the material on the top portion moved to the central portion, where it will be subjected to higher temperature. Thereafter aerobic condition was maintained by opening half side doors. Turning of the compost material is the most common method of supplying oxygen to the composting system conducted in enclosed reactors. It also exposes fresh substrate to the micro-organisms and releases ammonia which is accumulated in the void space of compost material [16]. In addition to that, two adjacent holes are made on bottom of the drum to drain off excess water. The shredded mixed organic waste is loaded into the drum by means of plastic container and filled up to 70% of the total volume. Cattle (Buffalo) dung, grass cuttings, student hostels food waste (pulses and cooked vegetable), mixed green vegetables waste (uncooked) and paper waste collected from boys hostels, girls hostels and faculty residences of Indian Institute of Technology Guwahati campus, India. Saw dust was purchased from nearby saw mill. Prior to composting, the maximum particle size in the mixed waste was restricted to 1 cm in order to provide better aeration and moisture control. The compost was prepared with three different proportioning of waste mixtures of C/N 16, 22 and 30 are detailed in Table 1.

Temperature was monitored using a digital thermometer throughout the composting period. Temperature observations were taken at three different locations in the composter; i.e. at its center and at two ends. About 100 g of each grab samples were collected from six different locations within drum, mostly at the mid span and ends of the composter by compost sampler without disturbing the adjacent materials.

Mixing weight (kg)							Initial weight (kg)	Moisture content (%)	C/N ratio
Cattle dung	Grass cuttings	Food waste (Cooked)	Vegetable waste (Uncooked)	Paper waste	Saw dust	Manure			
0	15	10	15	0	0	5	45	68.04	16
25	0	0	20	0	10	5	60	61.12	22
18	0	25	10	4	10	5	69	64.93	30

Table 1: Waste Composition

Finally all the grab samples are mixed together and considered as homogenized sample. Triplicates homogenized samples were collected and stored at 4oC. The biodegradable organic matter was measured as BOD by the dilution method and COD by the dichromate method [17]. Microbial respiration of compost samples, based on CO_2 evolution, was measured using static measurement. Approximately, 10 g of sample was sealed in a 0.5 L vessel along with a beaker containing a known weight of oven dried (105oC) soda lime (1.5-2.0 mesh). The samples were incubated at room temperature (24± 2oC). Soda lime trap were removed after 24 hours, oven dried and reweighed to determine CO_2 absorbed. The OUR was measured on a liquid suspension of compost (8 g of compost in 500 ml of distilled water added with $CaCl_2$, $MgSO_4$, $FeCl_3$ and phosphate buffer at pH 7.2, made up according to the standard methods BOD test procedures [17] incubated at room temperature (24±2oC) [13]. The DO probe was placed in the sample bottle, its sensor being at a depth of 5-7 cm below the water surface. The suspension was continuously stirred by means of a magnetic stirrer. The O_2 concentration was measured continuously and this value quoted as the OUR in mg O_2/ gVS/day. Finally, the CO_2 test values and OUR were used to determine the Solvita® maturity index on a scale of 1-8 which then represent the maturity level of the compost samples). All the results reported are the means of three replicates. Repeated measures treated with ANOVA were made using Statistica software. The objective of statistical analysis is to determine any significant differences among the parameters analyzed for different C/N ratios during the composting process.

III. Results and Discussion

A. Temperature

A graph showing the variation of temperature of composting material with time is illustrated in Figure 1. The mesophilic, thermophilic, cooling and curing stages are clearly depicted. C/N 16 containing high amount of grass cutting reached 70oC maximum in all 3 Runs) and entered the thermophilic phase after the few hours from the beginning, indicating quick establishment of microbial activities in the composter. The longer thermophilic phase (6 days) as well as the higher rise in temperature in the beginning of composting was attributed to sufficient supply of carbon source. Further a cooling period was observed up to the end of composting period. In C/N 22, temperature was increased up to 60oC and entered the thermophilic phase at the very third day of composting process. Furthermore the thermophilic phase was observed for 5 days followed by a cooling period. However, C/N 30 required 5 days, a comparative longer time, to reach a maximum temperature of only 50oC with short thermophilic phase when compared to C/N 16 and 22. This was due to high initial C/N, which did not provide a favorable condition for the growth and biological activity of microorganism. The rotation causes mixing up of the top mesophilic layer and the inner thermophilic layer, thereby yielding a uniform mixing of the compost material. On analyzing the results by ANOVA, variation in temperature profile during composting was significantly reported among all three mixtures of C/N ratios ($P<0.0001$).



Fig. 1: Temperature profile of composting materials over time

B. Volatile Solids (VS)

The content of volatile solids decreased with composting time with about 33%, 22% and 30% for C/N 16, 22 and 30, respectively, owing to the loss of organic matter through microbial degradation (Figure 2). VS decreased significantly among C/N 16, 22 and 30 (P<0.0001). The larger amount of sawdust in C/N 30 contained higher amount of recalcitrant decomposable compounds, such as cellulose and lignin which may account for the insignificant lower degree of organic matter loss as compared to C/N 22 contained grass cutting and mixed vegetable waste after the 20 days of composting [18].

C. CO2 Evolution

The respiration rate based on CO2 respirometry was measured after overnight preincubation at room temperature followed by the final weight of soda lime after oven dry. The CO2 evolution rates of the C/N 16, 22 and 30 decreased from initial values of 6.3, 8.3 and 11.6 to 0.77, 1.15 and 1.47 mg CO2 /g VS/day, respectively (Figure 3). ANOVA results showed significant variation in CO2 evolution among the C/N ratios. The greatest decreased in CO2 evolution (55%) was observed during C/N 30 after the one week of composting process. Consequently, C/N 22 also observed a significant decrease early during composting. The highest decrease in rate of respiration activity in the C/N 16 occurred during the initial 3 days and between 10 to 15 days. The decrease in CO2 evolution was very low after the 17 days of composting in all C/N ratios, indicated the stability of finished compost. The greater differences in CO2 evolution initially among the three C/N rations imply that compost source material have a great impact on the compost stabilization process (Wu et al).



Fig. 2: Volatile solid of composting materials over time



Fig. 3: CO2 evolution of composting materials over time

The similarity of final values of CO2 evolution strongly recommended the viability of rotary drum for all kind of waste with different C/N ratios. The Solvita® maturation index based on CO2 evolution increased from 4.97, 3.98 and 2.34 to 7.71, 7.52 and 7.36, respectively in C/N 16, 22 and 30. Solvita® results proved the composts from all C/N ratios inter into the well stable condition after the 15 days. In the work of Changa et al. [19] and Cabanas-Vargas et al. [8] observed that, the Solvita® CO2 index of less than 6 of finished windrow type compost. In our case, more than 7 Solvita® CO2 index proved the rotary drum is the good and viable technique for composting of various kinds of waste. However, due to variation in compost feedstock in different C/N ratios, stable compost especially from C/N 16 and 30 containing larger amount of cellulosed or fibers contents may need more time to break down the phytotoxic substances [11]. Authors suggested the Solvita® maturation index is a good indicator for compost.

IV. Conclusions

1) Compost stability studies carried out for rotary drum under various C/N ratios reveals that compost of initial C/N 22 became very stable with the wastes combinations of cattle dung, vegetable wastes and saw dust. C/N 22 showed lower final OUR of 1.21 mg O2/g VS/day and Solvita® index of 8, which indicated that compost was completely stable.
2) Lower final CO2 evolution proved the rotary drum is the excellent and viable technique for composting of various combinations of different kinds of wastes. All C/N ratio especially C/N 30 depicted a highly significant positive correlation (p<0.0001) between OUR and VS indicated the optimal conditions in rotary drum for degradation of VS. However, due to variation in waste materials in different C/N ratios, stable compost especially from C/N 16 and 30 containing larger amount of cellulosed or fibers contents may need more time to break down the phytotoxic substances.
3) On analyzing the results by ANOVA significant variation observed in stability parameters (OUR and CO2 evolution) among the waste mixtures of different C/N ratio (P<0.0001).This study demonstrate that compost OUR and CO2 evolution provided additional information about the effectiveness of the rotary drum that was not clearly reflected in measurement of compost physico-chemical parameters.
4) Even though, the conditions of pilot scale and full scale are different as heat losses in both cases are different, nevertheless, the results of this study would be very useful in selecting the best possible waste composition (C/N 22) for a full scale rotary drum composter to achieve stable compost.

References

[1] MetCalf, Eddy. 2003. Wastewater Engineering Treatment, Disposal, Reuse (3rd Ed.). (McGraw-Hill Publishing Company, Ltd., New York)
[2] Kalamdhad, A. S., Kazmi, A. A. 2008. Mixed organic waste composting using rotary drum composter.

International Journal of Environment & Waste Management, 2(1/2), 24-36.
[3] Singh, W. R., Das, A. and Kalamdhad, A. S. 2012. Composting of water hyacinth using a pilot scale rotary drum composter. Environmental Engineering Research 17 (2), 69-75.
[4] Singh, J. and Kalamdhad, A. S. 2013. Assessment of bioavailability and leachability of heavy metals during rotary drum composting of green waste (Water hyacinth). Ecological Engineering 52 59-69.
[5] Aboulam, S., Morvan, B., Revel, J. C. 2006. Use of a rotating - drum pilot plant to model the composting of household waste on an industrial scale. Compost Science & Utilization, 14 (3), 184-190.
[6] Smith, D. R., Cawthon, D. L., Sloan, J. J. and Freeman, T. M. 2006. In-vessel, mechanical rotating drum composting of institutional and food residuals. Compost Science & Utilization, 14, 155-161.
[7] Gomez, R. B., Lima, F. V. and Ferrer, A. S. 2006. The use of respiration indices in the composting process: A review. Waste Management & Research, 24, 37-47.
[8] Cabanas-Vargas, D. D., Sanchez-Monedero, M. A., Urpilainen, S. T., Kamilaki, A., and Stentiforg. 2005. Assesing the stability and maturity of compost at large-scale plants. Ingenieria, 9(2), 25-30.
[9] Brewer, L. J., and Sullivan, D. M. 2003. Maturity and stability evolution of composted yard trimmings. Compost Science & Utilization, 11(2), 96-112.
[10] Matteson, T. L. and Sullivan, D. M. 2006. Stability evolution of mixed food waste composts. Compost Science & Utilization, 14(3), 170-177.
[11] Wu, L., Ma, L. Q. and Martinez, G. A. 2000. Comparison of methods for evaluating stability and maturity of biosolids compost. Journal of Environmental Quality, 29, 424-429.
[12] Said-Pullicino, D., Erriquens, F. G. and Gigliotti, G. 2007. Changes in the chemical characteristics of water-extractable organic matter during composting and their influence on compost stability and maturity. Bioresource Technology, 98, 1822-1831.
[13] Kalamdhad, A. S., Pasha, M., and Kazmi, A. A. 2008. Stability evaluation of compost by respiration techniques in a rotary drum composter. Resource Conservation & Recycling, 52, 829-834.
[14] De Guardia, A., Petiot, C., Rogeau, D. and Druilhe, C. 2008. Influence of aeration rate on nitrogen dynamics during composting. Waste Management, 28, 575-587.
[15] Liang, Y., Leonard, J. J., Feddes, J. J. R. and McGill, W. B. 2006. Influence of carbon and buffer amendment on ammonia volatilization in composting. Bioresource Technology, 97, 748-761.
[16] Parkinson, R., Gibbs, P., Burchett, S. and Misselbrook, T. 2004. Effect of turning regime and seasonal weather conditions on nitrogen and phosphorus losses during aerobic composting of cattle manure. Bioresource Technology, 91, 171-178.
[17] APHA. 2005. Standard methods for the examination of water and wastewater. (21st edition, American Public Health Association, Washington, DC, USA)
[18] Haung, G. F. Wong, J. W. C., We, Q. T. and Nagar, B. B. 2004. Effect of C/N on composting of pig manure with sawdust. Waste Management, 24, 805-813.
[19] Changa, C. M., Wang, P., Watson, M. E., Hoitink, H. A. J. and Michel, F. C. 2003. Assessment of the reliability of a commercial maturity test kit for composted manures. Compost Science & Utilization, 11(2), 125-145.
[20] Iannotti, D. A., Pang, T., Toht, B. L., Elwell, D. L., Keener, H. M. and Hoitink, H. A. J. 1993. A quantitative respirometric method for monitoring compost stability. Compost Science & Utilization, 1, 52-65.
[21] Brinton, W. F. 2001. An international look at compost standards: methods used for evaluating compost quality in Europe are summarized in a new report. Biocycle, 42, 74-77.