

# “Optimization of Condenser Using Nanofluid (Al<sub>2</sub>O<sub>3</sub>)”

B. D. Dhamecha<sup>1</sup> J. J. Makadia<sup>2</sup>

<sup>1</sup>PG Student <sup>2</sup>Assistant Professor

<sup>1,2</sup>RK University, Rajkot

**Abstract**— according to thermodynamics, the efficiency of surface condenser is based on mass flow rate of cooling water. To reduce the mass flow rate of cooling water in surface condenser, some of the nanofluids are insert with them and measure various parameters like inlet & outlet temperature, mass flow rate water with mixed nanofluid, a particular mass flow rate will be decided and from that volume concentration. From this mass flow rate and volume concentration of nanofluid, the optimized condenser design will be start.

**Keywords:** Nanofluids, Condenser, rate of cooling.

Temp. Difference,  $\Delta T$  = 12°C  
 Steam Inlet Temp = 150°C  
 Steam Outlet Temp = 90°C  
 Temp. Difference,  $\Delta T$  = 60°C  
 No. of Tubes: 348  
 Length of Tubes: 3000 mm  
 Outside Diameter of Tube: 60.30 mm  
 Inside Diameter of Tube: 54.00 mm  
 Wall Thickness of Tube: 06.30 mm

## I. INTRODUCTION

### A. Surface Condenser

Now a day, due to the limitation of fossil fuel in the world, subject of energy consumption optimization in various industrial processes becomes very important. In chemical processes one of the most important devices related to energy and heat transfer is heat exchanger. For decades, efforts have been done to enhance heat transfer, reduce the heat transfer time, minimize size of heat exchangers, and finally increase energy and fuel efficiencies, reduction in energy consumption is possible by improving the performance of heat exchanger systems and introducing various heat transfer enhancement techniques. Further enhancement in heat transfer is always in demand.

### B. NANOFLUID

Nano particles have many attractive characteristics to lend to the idea of a nanofluid. First of all, nano particles are free from the sedimentation. The particle sedimentation speed depends on particle size, base fluid viscosity, and density difference between particle and base fluid. The easiest way to be free from sedimentation is to minimize particle size and the speed goes to zero with nanometer-size particle.

### C. THERMAL PROPERTIES OF VARIOUS NANOFLUIDS

Table. 1: Thermal Properties of Nanofluids

PROPERTY	WATER	ETHYLENE GLYCOL	CU	AL <sub>2</sub> O <sub>3</sub>	CUO	TIO <sub>2</sub>
C(J/kg K)	4179	2415	385	765	535.5	686.2
$\rho$ (kg/m <sup>3</sup> )	997.1	1111	8933	3970	6500	4250
k(W/mK)	0.605	0.252	400	40	20	8.9538
$\alpha$ (m <sup>2</sup> /s)	1.47	93	1163	1317	57.43	30.7

So, for this project I select one power plant as a reference plant and following data of them are as below:

Mass Flow Rate = 7.083 kg/s

Cooling Water Inlet Temp = 33°C

Cooling Water Outlet Temp = 45°C

### D. LIST OF SYMBOLS, ABBREVIATIONS AND NOMENCLATURE

C <sub>p</sub>	Specific heat, J/kg K
D	Nano particle diameter
D <sub>p</sub>	Tube diameter, m
U	Overall heat transfer coefficient, W/m <sup>2</sup> K
m	Mass flow rate, L/s
Q	Heat transfer, W
V	Mean velocity, m/s
$\phi$	Volume concentration, %
P	Density, kg/m <sup>3</sup>
$\Delta T$	°C

### E. DATA PROCESSING

Heat Duty,  $Q = m \times C_p \times \Delta T = 355.80$  kW

Density of nanofluid (AL<sub>2</sub>O<sub>3</sub>)

$$\rho_{nf} = [(1-\phi)\rho_f] + (\phi\rho_p)$$

Table. 2: Density of Nanofluid for Different Volume Concentration

Sr. No.	Volume Concentration	Density (kg/m <sup>3</sup> )
1	30.01	990.0397
2	0.02	980.0794
3	0.03	970.1191
4	0.04	960.1588
5	0.05	950.1985
6	0.06	940.2382
7	0.07	930.2779
8	0.08	920.3176

9	0.09	910.3573
10	0.10	900.3970
11	0.11	890.4367
12	0.12	880.4764
13	0.13	870.5161
14	0.14	860.5558
15	0.15	850.5955
16	0.16	840.6352
17	0.17	830.6749
18	0.18	820.7146
19	0.19	810.7543
20	0.20	800.7940
21	0.21	790.8337
22	0.22	780.8734
23	0.23	770.9131
24	0.24	760.9528
25	0.25	750.9925

Specific heat of nanofluid (AL<sub>2</sub>O<sub>3</sub>):-  
 $(\rho C_p)_{nf} = \{(1-\phi) \times (\rho C_p)_f + \phi (\rho C_p)_p\}$

Table. 3: Specific Heat of Nanofluid for Different Volume Concentration

Sr. No.	Volume Concentration	Specific Heat (kJ/kg° C)
1	0.01	4.219
2	0.02	4.257
3	0.03	4.293
4	0.04	4.330
5	0.05	4.367
6	0.06	4.407
7	0.07	4.447
8	0.08	4.488
9	0.09	4.530
10	0.10	4.572
11	0.11	4.615
12	0.12	4.660

13	0.13	4.705
14	0.14	4.751
15	0.15	4.800
16	0.16	4.847
17	0.17	4.897
18	0.18	4.948
19	0.19	5.000
20	0.20	5.054
21	0.21	5.109
22	0.22	5.165
23	0.23	5.223
24	0.24	5.282
25	0.25	5.343

MASS FLOW RATES:-

$$Q = m \times C_p \times \Delta T, \quad m = (Q/C_p \times \Delta T)$$

Table. 4: Mass flow rates of mixing of cooling water and nanofluid for different volume concentration

Sr. No.	Volume Concentration	Mass Flow Rate (kg/s)
1	0.01	07.02
2	0.02	06.96
3	0.03	06.90
4	0.04	06.84
5	0.05	06.78
6	0.06	06.72
7	0.07	6.66
8	0.08	6.60
9	0.09	6.54
10	0.10	6.49
11	0.11	6.43
12	0.12	6.36
13	0.13	6.30
14	0.14	6.24
15	0.15	6.17
16	0.16	6.11
17	0.17	6.05

18	0.18	5.99
19	0.19	5.93
20	0.20	5.87
21	0.21	5.80
22	0.22	5.73
23	0.23	5.68
24	0.24	5.61
25	0.25	5.55

For Φ = 12%	25,29,18,800.50
For Φ = 13%	25,29,18,456.64
For Φ = 14%	25,29,18,117.2
For Φ = 15%	25,29,17,725.00

c) Initial cost

Now by adding nanofluid with sea water there is a decrease in condenser area and also length of tubes. The calculation of reduction in area and length of tubes are found out through matlab programming

Table. 5: Initial Cost for Different Volume Concentration

Sr. No.	% Volume Concentration	Area (m <sup>2</sup> )	Tube Length (mm)	Decrement in Tube Length (mm)	Initial Cost (Rs.)
1	1	562.9737	2973.3	26.7	21780500
2	2	558.1619	2947.9	52.1	21759100
3	3	553.3502	2922.5	77.5	21739200
4	4	548.5384	2897.1	102.9	21721600
5	5	543.7267	2871.7	128.3	21705800
6	6	538.9150	2846.3	153.7	21692300
7	7	534.1032	2820.8	179.2	21680100
8	8	529.2915	2795.4	204.6	21668600
9	9	524.4797	2770.0	230.0	21658900
10	10	520.4700	2748.8	251.2	21650500
11	11	515.6582	2723.4	276.6	21643200
12	12	510.0445	2693.8	306.2	21637050
13	13	505.2328	2668.4	331.6	21632000
14	14	500.4210	2642.9	357.1	21628100
15	15	494.8073	2613.3	386.7	21625350

d) TOTAL COST

Table. 6: Total Cost for Different Volume Concentration

Sr. No.	% Volume Concentration	Nanofluid Cost	Operating Cost	Initial Cost	Total Cost
1	1	25,550	25,29,22,548.50	21780500	274,728,599
2	2	47,450	25,29,22,203.90	21759100	274,728,754
3	3	73,000	25,29,21,859.30	21739200	274,734,059
4	4	94,900	25,29,21,514.70	21721600	274,738,015
5	5	01,20,450	25,29,21,213.20	21705800	274,627,013

F. COST OPTIMIZATION

1) FOR SEA WATER

a) Water Pumping Cost

$$C_{op} = \sum_{k=1}^{ny} \frac{C_o}{(1+i)^k}$$

C<sub>o</sub> can be calculated by,

$$C_o = P \times K_{el} \times \tau$$

P can be calculated by,

$$P = \frac{1}{n} \left( \frac{m \tau}{\rho t} \Delta p_t + \frac{m s}{\rho s} \Delta p_s \right)$$

C<sub>op</sub> = 25,29,22,936.00

b) Initial cost

According to quotation, the initial cost : 02,18,05,000.00

c) Total cost using sea water

$$C_{TOTAL} = \text{Operating Cost} + \text{Initial Cost}$$

$$= 25,29,22,936.00 + 02,18,05,000.00$$

$$= 27,47,27,936.00$$

2) FOR MIXTURE OF SEA WATER AND NANOFLUID

a) Nanofluid cost

According to quotation, the nanofluid cost : 7500/kg

b) Water Pumping Cost

For Φ = 1%	25,29,22,548.50
For Φ = 2%	25,29,22,203.90
For Φ = 3%	25,29,21,859.30
For Φ = 4%	25,29,21,514.70
For Φ = 5%	25,29,21,213.20
For Φ = 6%	25,29,20,868.70
For Φ = 7%	25,29,20,524.10
For Φ = 8%	25,29,20,179.50
For Φ = 9%	25,29,19,834.10
For Φ = 10%	25,29,19,533.40
For Φ = 11%	25,29,19,143.80

			20		
6	6	01,42,35 0	25,29,20,868. 70	2169230 0	274,613,1 69
7	7	01,67,90 0	25,29,20,524. 10	2168010 0	274,600,6 24
8	8	01,89,80 0	25,29,20,179. 50	2166860 0	274,588,7 80
9	9	02,15,35 0	25,29,19,834. 10	2165890 0	274,578,7 34
10	10	02,37,25 0	25,29,19,533. 40	2165050 0	274,570,0 33
11	11	02,62,80 0	25,29,19,143. 80	2164320 0	274,562,3 44
12	12	02,84,70 0	25,29,18,800. 50	2163705 0	274,555,8 51
13	13	03,10,25 0	25,29,18,456. 64	2163200 0	274,550,4 57
14	14	03,32,15 0	25,29,18,117. 2	2162810 0	274,546,2 17
15	15	03,57,70 0	25,29,17,725. 00	2162535 0	274,543,0 75

e) SAVING COST

Table. 7: Saving Cost for Different Volume Concentration

Sr. No.	% Volume Concentration	Actual Initial Cost	New Initial Cost	Saving (Rs.)
1	1	27,47,27,936.00	21780500	-663
2	2	27,47,27,936.00	21759100	-818
3	3	27,47,27,936.00	21739200	-6,123
4	4	27,47,27,936.00	21721600	-10,079
5	5	27,47,27,936.00	21705800	100,923
6	6	27,47,27,936.00	21692300	114,767
7	7	27,47,27,936.00	21680100	127,312
8	8	27,47,27,936.00	21668600	139,157
9	9	27,47,27,936.00	21658900	149,202
10	10	27,47,27,936.00	21650500	157,903
11	11	27,47,27,936.00	21643200	165,592
12	12	27,47,27,936.00	21637050	172,086
13	13	27,47,27,936.00	21632000	177,479
14	14	27,47,27,936.00	21628100	181,719
15	15	27,47,27,936.00	21625350	184,861

II. CONCLUSION

The present review is a comprehensive outlook on the research progress made in the thermal enhancement process

using nanofluids. The aim of the nanofluid research is to develop new methods to augment the synthesis method, novel equipments for measuring the thermo physical properties and synthesize nanofluids with excellent transport properties. The size of the nano particles plays an important role in improving the heat transfer properties. The dispersion behavior of nano particles improves, if the nano particles can be prevented from agglomeration using appropriate surfactants. The effect of nanofluid on the reduction in area as well as tube size of the heat exchanger indicates that nanofluids will retain more heat than water. The Al<sub>2</sub>O<sub>3</sub>nanofluid has a significant heat gain than either water.

REFERENCES

- [1] [www.ewp.rpi.edu/harford/chanc6/EP/Other/proposal-presentation.pdf](http://www.ewp.rpi.edu/harford/chanc6/EP/Other/proposal-presentation.pdf)
- [2] [http://www.ehow.com/how\\_8657962\\_convert-percentage-mgkg.html](http://www.ehow.com/how_8657962_convert-percentage-mgkg.html)
- [3] Alpesh V Mehta, Nimit M Patel, Dinesh K Tantia, Nilsh M Jha, “Mini Heat Exchanger Using Al<sub>2</sub>O<sub>3</sub>-Water Based Nanofluid”, International Journal of Mechanical Engineering and Technology (IJMET), ISSN 0976 - 6340(Print), ISSN 0976 – 6359(Online) Volume 4, Issue 2, March - April (2013) © IAEME.
- [4] M. M. Elias, M. Miqdad, I. M. Mahbulul, R. Saidur, M. Kamalirvestani, M. R. Sohel, ArifHepbasli, N. A. Rahim, M. A. Amalina, “Effect of nano particle shape on the heat transfer and thermodynamic performance of a shell and tube heat exchanger”, International Communications in Heat and Mass Transfer 44 (2013) 93–99.
- [5] L. Godson, K. Deepak, C. Enoch, B. Jefferson, B. Rajab, “Heat transfer characteristics of silver/water nanofluids in a shell and tube type heat exchanger”, 2001, archives of civil and mechanical engineering.
- [6] M. A. Khairul, R. Saidur, M. M. Rahman, M. A. Alim, A. Hossain, Z. Abdin, “Heat transfer and thermodynamic analyses of a helically coiled heat exchanger using different types of nanofluids”, International Journal of Heat and Mass Transfer 67 (2013) 398–403.
- [7] Sadik Kakac, Anchasa Pramuanjaroenkij, “Review of convective heat transfer enhancement with nanofluids”, International Journal of Heat and Mass Transfer 52 (2009) 3187–3196.
- [8] Seontae Kim, Hyung Dae Kim, Hyungmo Kim, Ho Seon Ahn, Hangjin Jo, Joonwon Kim, Moo Hwan Kim, “Effects of nanofluid and surfaces with nano structure on the increase of CHF”, Experimental Thermal and Fluid Science 34 (2010) 487–495.
- [9] Mohammad Moghiman, Bentolhoda Aslani, “Influence of nano particles on reducing and enhancing evaporation mass transfer and its efficiency”, International Journal of Heat and Mass Transfer 61 (2013) 114–118.
- [10] Naghmeh Jamshidi, Mousa Farhadi, Kurosh Sedighi, Davood Domeiry Ganji, “Optimization of design parameters for nanofluids flowing inside helical coils”, International Communications in Heat and Mass Transfer 39 (2012) 311–317.

- [11] Jaafar Albadr, Satinder Tayal, Mushtaq Alasadi, “Heat transfer through heat exchanger using Al<sub>2</sub>O<sub>3</sub> nano fluid for different volume concentrations”, Case studies in Thermal engineering.
- [12] S. ZeinaliHeris, M. Nasr Esfahany, S. Gh. Etemad, “Experimental investigation of convective heat transfer of Al<sub>2</sub>O<sub>3</sub>/water nanofluid in circular tube”, International Journal of Heat and Fluid Flow 28 (2007) 203–210.
- [13] Kanjirakat Anoop, Jonathan Cox, Reza Sadr, “Thermal evaluation of nanofluids in heat exchangers”, International Communications in Heat and Mass Transfer 49 (2013) 5–9.
- [14] B. Farajollahi, S. Gh. Etemad, M. Hojjat, “Heat transfer of nanofluids in a shell and tube heat exchanger”, International Journal of Heat and Mass Transfer 53 (2010) 12–17.
- [15] Ramesh R., Dr. R. Vivekananthan, “Application of Al<sub>2</sub>O<sub>3</sub> Nanofluid for Enhance Heat Transfer Rate in Shell and Tube Heat Exchanger”, IOSR Journal of Mechanical and Civil Engineering (IOSR-JMCE) e-ISSN: 2278-1684, p-ISSN: 2320-334X, Volume 11, Issue 2 Ver. I (Mar- Apr. 2014), PP 29-33.
- [16] Dadui Guerrieri, Fabio Viana, Saulo Carvalho Fragoso, Mila R. Avelino, “Shell And Tube Heat Exchangers Using Nanofluids”, 14th Brazilian Congress of Thermal Sciences and Engineering, November 18-22, 2012, Rio de Janeiro, RJ, Brazil
- [17] L. B. Mapa, Sana Mazhar, “Heat Transfer In Mini Heat Exchanger Using Nanofluids”, American Society for Engineering Education April 1-2, 2005 – Northern Illinois University, DeKalb, Illinois. 2005 IL/IN Sectional Conference
- [18] Sepehr Sanaye, Hassan Hajadollahi, “Multi-objective optimization of shell and tube heat exchangers”, Applied Thermal Engineering 30 (2010) 1937-1945.
- [19] Xinwei Wang, Xianfan Xu, Stephen U. S. Choi, “Thermal Conductivity of Nanoparticle – Fluid Mixture”, Journal of Thermo physical and Heat Transfer, Vol,-3, No. 4, Ocotber-December 1999.
- [20] Roghayeh Lotfi, Ali Morad Rashidi, Azadeh Amrollahi, “Experimental study on the heat transfer enhancement of MWNT water nanofluid in a shell and tube heat exchanger” International Communications in Heat and Mass Transfer 39 (2012) 108–111
- [21] T. Srinivas, A. Venu Vinod, “Performance of an agitated helical coil heat exchanger using Al<sub>2</sub>O<sub>3</sub>/water nanofluid”, Experimental Thermal and Fluid Science.
- [22] Shung Wen Kang A, Wei Chiang Wei A, Sheng Hong Tsai B, Shih Yu Yang, “Experimental Investigation Of Silver Nano-Fluid On Heat Pipe Thermal Performance”, Applied Thermal Engineering 26 (2006) 2377–2382.