An Empirical Study on Millennial's Behaviour towards Perception of Investment Avenues with Reference to Saurashtra Region of the Gujarat

Ms. Hardika Jadav¹ Dr. Dharmesh Shah²

¹Research Scholar ²Registrar & Professor ¹School of Doctoral Research and Innovation, GLS University, India Faculty of Management, GLS University, India

Abstract— Investors play vital and crucial role in the growth of the economy. They are rational for their investment and at the different age of the life cycle, they are having different parameters for investment decision. In which millennials are the major group who influence the economy with their decisions. The purpose of this research is to study about the investment decisions of millennial generation. Main aim to know the impact on investment decision due to influence of gender, occupation and Income level of investors. This study surveyed 173 respondent of Saurashtra region of Gujarat. The descriptive study examine the investor's perception towards the investment avenues. Secondly, to study the impact of gender and income level of investors on preference of various investment avenues. Also to know the impact of occupation on the investment objective of millennials. Researcher used the SPSS for the data analysis. Interpretation and significance of the hypothesis was done through the percentage analysis, and ANNOVA test. The current study exhibits that there is relationship between investment decision with gender, occupation, and income level of investors.

Key words: Millennials, Investment Decision, Investment Behavior, Investment Avenues

I. INTRODUCTION

Numerous scholars have explored investment behavior and endeavored to deepen comprehension of how individuals oversee their investments using diverse approaches. A review of the existing literature underscores that personal traits predominantly shape the process of investment management. The discussion centers on the impact of psychological factors and personal behavior when making investment decisions by the millennials. Investors play a crucial role in allocating capital to different companies, industries, and asset classes. By investing in stocks, bonds, real estate, and other financial instruments, they help channel funds to businesses and projects that need financing for growth and development. As the same investors are the backbone of financial markets, contributing to market efficiency, capital allocation, and economic growth. Their decisions and actions collectively shape market trends, pricing, and overall market dynamics. Millennials, born roughly between the early 1980s and mid-1990s, have displayed distinct investment behaviors and preferences compared to previous generations. Their approach to investment management is often influenced by their unique experiences, technological advancements, and changing economic landscapes. Various demographic factors and the different preferred financial avenues was changed the preference of the investment management.

II. LITERATURE REVIEW

Geetha & M. Ramesh (2012) conducted study on A study on relevance of demographic factors in investment decisions. This study aims to investigate the importance of demographic factors within the population, including gender, age, education, occupation, income, savings, and family size, in relation to various aspects of investment decision-making. These aspects encompass investment preferences based on investment characteristics, the duration of investments, sources of information reach, investment frequency, and analytical skills.

Sumandiran Prithiviraj, Gokul G, (2016) analysed with the objective to find the behaviour of investors and analyze the factors affecting the Investment decision and to find out the risk tolerance level of individual investors with respect to demographic variables like gender, age, domicile, marital status, education, occupation, family type and family size which was significantly influenced the investment behavior.

Kusumaningrum, Trias & Isbanah, Yuyun & Paramita, R.A. (2019), Study analyzed that a young investor should always consider the financial position much before taking investment decisions which may be sensitive towards market fluctuations. Hence the study suggests that the young investors should balance their emotional control towards market fluctuations which can ultimately lead to adverse decisions.

Aang Kunaifi, Ahnan Naufa Fadlil Akbar (2019), the researchers found that personal factors such as age group and gender have relationships on investment behavior. Females are more likely to have an investment account.

Raju, N. S. V. N., & Patra, A. (2020) had studied and had carried out research on the investor's investment perceptions towards various investment options and various factors that influencing the investment behaviour of individual investors.

Shinki K Pandey, Abhishek Vishwakarma (2020) have identified the Investment preferences among the young generation of India. These studies shows that the young generation is ready to take a good risk for getting good returns and they have stopped following traditional methods of investment. And it was also found that the young generation is not bounded by

the time horizon factor i.e. Long Term or Short Term instead they are focused gaining higher returns irrespective to time horizon.

Vaishali, Ms & Patil, Rajendra & Mali, Nitin. (2021) analyzed that most of the millennials in Pune district preferred to invest in the traditional investment like, Bank deposit, insurance, gold/silver as compared to modern investment in stock market and Mutual funds. As per their view, they are also less secure for investing in the Commodity market due to uncertainty and Volatility in the stock market.

Shyamala G & Dr. R. Mahesh (2022). The researchers found that, the young generation of today are more creative and technology savvy than the older generation socially and financially. From their point of view, the awareness about investment is lacking. In India, all capital market investment avenues are perceived to be risky by the investors. The literature review has revealed that the younger generation investors are willing to invest in capital market instruments.

III. RESEARCH GAP

The mentioned literature fails to address the investment behavior and perspectives of millennials investors across different investment options in India. It does not make an effort to uncover the significant underlying factors affecting investments, their respective significance, and their correlation with demographic variables. Many of the existing studies yield conflicting outcomes regarding how investors perceive different investment avenues in India. This current study aims to bridge these gaps in research.

IV. OBJECTIVES

- 1) To investigate the investment preferences of millennials for the Investment management.
- 2) To investigate the investment preference of millennials with reference to gender.
- 3) To investigate the investment preference of millennials with reference to occupation.
- 4) To investigate the investment preference of millennials with reference to monthly income.

V. RESEARCH METHODOLOGY

A. Sample Frame

For this research we have taken the Gujarat state as universe for the data collection which was sub divided in to different sub cluster as per the census data of 2011 ("Census of India," 2011). And form the sub cluster consists of five zone i.e. central zone, North zone, Kutch Zone, Saurashtra Zone and South Zone. From which for the purpose of the study we have taken the South zone.

B. Research Design

The Research utilised the survey method that was both descriptive and analytical in nature. A survey was conducted through a structured questionnaire tasted for reliability and data was collected throughout the Saurashtra region of the Gujarat

VI. DATA ANALYSIS AND INTERPRETATION

The data was collected based on Convenient Sampling method and was analysed on the basis on Percentage analysis, t Test, and ANNOVA test.

A. Demographic profile of the respondents

| Demographic Profile | Particular | No of respondents | Percentage |
|-------------------------|---------------|-------------------|------------|
| Gender | Male | 120 | 69.4 |
| | Female | 53 | 30.6 |
| | Transgender | 0 | 0.00 |
| Total | | 173 | 100 |
| Age | 26 to 30 | 76 | 43.9 |
| | 31 to 35 | 43 | 24.9 |
| | 36 to 40 | 54 | 31.2 |
| Total | | 173 | 100 |
| Education Qualification | Graduate | 51 | 29.5 |
| | Post Graduate | 112 | 64.7 |
| | Professional | 10 | 5.8 |
| Total | | 173 | 100 |
| Occupation | Private Job | 80 | 46.2 |
| | Professional | 65 | 37.6 |
| | Entrepreneur | 28 | 16.2 |
| Total | | 173 | 100 |
| Monthly Income | Up to 20000 | 36 | 20.8 |
| | 20001-30000 | 35 | 20.2 |

| | 30001-45000 | 42 | 24.3 |
|----------------|------------------|-----|------|
| | 450001-60000 | 21 | 12.1 |
| | Above 60000 | 39 | 22.5 |
| Annual Savings | Up to 50000 | 48 | 27.7 |
| | 50001- 100000 | 62 | 35.8 |
| | 100001-200000 | 32 | 18.5 |
| | 200001-500000 | 31 | 17.9 |
| | More than 500000 | 00 | 0.00 |
| Total | | 173 | 100 |

Table 1: Demographic Profile of Respondents

The research primarily focuses on examining the investment behaviour of millennials, with the sample categorized according to their socio-economic backgrounds. The age group between 26 to 40 were found to participate in research. The required data was collected through pre-tasted questionnaire based on random sampling of 173 respondents. Out of 173 respondents, 120 respondents were male and rest 53 were females. Most of the respondents were post graduate qualified (112), 51 respondents were graduate and the rest of the remaining 10 respondents were professionally qualified. The table shows that out of total Respondents, 80 respondents were salaried investors of private job, 65 respondents were professional investors and remaining 28 respondents were entrepreneurs. Further, Majority of the respondents were having monthly income between 30000 to 45000 and 39 respondents were having the monthly income above 60000. As per the percentage analysis it shows that, 35.8% of the investors having annual saving of 50000 to 100000. And followed by the annual saving of 27.7% investors having annual saving of up to 50000.

B. Reliability Test

The reliability test assesses the degree of consistency between items and the outcomes.

To assess the reliability of this research, Cronbach's Alpha was employed to evaluate the internal consistency of a set of scale or test items.

| Reliability Statistics | | | | |
|-----------------------------|----|--|--|--|
| Cronbach's Alpha N of Items | | | | |
| .703 | 76 | | | |

Table 2: Reliability Test of the Collected Data

The reliability test of the data shows the .703 as per the criteria it means the data is excellent reliable for the research and the data are consistent for the further research analysis.

VII. HYPOTHESIS

- 1) H01:- There is no significant difference between choices of investment preference of avenues across the gender of respondents.
- 2) H₁:- There is significant difference between choices of investment preference of avenues across the gender of respondents.

| | | ANOVA | | | | |
|--------------|----------------|----------------|-----|-------------|-------|------|
| | | Sum of Squares | df | Mean Square | F | Sig. |
| | Between Groups | 2.072 | 1 | 2.072 | 2.753 | .099 |
| Saving A/c | Within Groups | 128.714 | 171 | .753 | | |
| | Total | 130.786 | 172 | | | |
| | Between Groups | 7.039 | 1 | 7.039 | 5.025 | .026 |
| Bank Deposit | Within Groups | 239.539 | 171 | 1.401 | | |
| | Total | 246.578 | 172 | | | |
| | Between Groups | .001 | 1 | .001 | .001 | .982 |
| PPF | Within Groups | 180.716 | 171 | 1.057 | | |
| | Total | 180.717 | 172 | | | |
| | Between Groups | 1.519 | 1 | 1.519 | 1.400 | .238 |
| NSC | Within Groups | 185.533 | 171 | 1.085 | | |
| | Total | 187.052 | 172 | | | |
| | Between Groups | .625 | 1 | .625 | .948 | .332 |
| Govt Sec | Within Groups | 112.705 | 171 | .659 | | |
| | Total | 113.329 | 172 | | | |
| | Between Groups | .000 | 1 | .000 | .000 | .997 |
| LIC | Within Groups | 306.092 | 171 | 1.790 | | |
| | Total | 306.092 | 172 | | | |
| | Between Groups | .343 | 1 | .343 | .491 | .484 |
| Mutual fund | Within Groups | 119.287 | 171 | .698 | | |
| | Total | 119.630 | 172 | | | |
| Post Office | Between Groups | .582 | 1 | .582 | 1.021 | .314 |

| | Within Groups | 97.384 | 171 | .569 | | |
|-----------------|----------------|---------|-----|-------|--------|------|
| | Total | 97.965 | 172 | | | |
| | Between Groups | .213 | 1 | .213 | .176 | .675 |
| Bond Debt | Within Groups | 206.712 | 171 | 1.209 | | |
| | Total | 206.925 | 172 | | | |
| | Between Groups | 7.665 | 1 | 7.665 | 13.155 | .000 |
| Gold Silver | Within Groups | 99.630 | 171 | .583 | | |
| | Total | 107.295 | 172 | | | |
| | Between Groups | .224 | 1 | .224 | .384 | .536 |
| Equity Stocks | Within Groups | 99.580 | 171 | .582 | | |
| | Total | 99.803 | 172 | | | |
| | Between Groups | 4.581 | 1 | 4.581 | 2.740 | .100 |
| Real Estate | Within Groups | 285.905 | 171 | 1.672 | | |
| | Total | 290.486 | 172 | | | |
| | Between Groups | 2.059 | 1 | 2.059 | 1.181 | .279 |
| Insurance | Within Groups | 297.999 | 171 | 1.743 | | |
| | Total | 300.058 | 172 | | | |
| Correcto | Between Groups | .001 | 1 | .001 | .005 | .946 |
| Crypto | Within Groups | 54.553 | 171 | .319 | | |
| currency | Total | 54.555 | 172 | | | |
| | Between Groups | .864 | 1 | .864 | 2.490 | .116 |
| Fraction shares | Within Groups | 59.333 | 171 | .347 | | |
| | Total | 60.197 | 172 | | | |

Table 3: ANNOVA Table between Investment Preferences and Gender

In above table 3 most of the p value of the investment preferences shows more than 0.05 so we can say that we fail to reject null hypothesis and hence researcher can say that there is no statistical relationship between the choice of investment preferences and gender like saving account (.099), Govt. Securities (.811), PPF (.982), NSC (.238) LIC (.997), Bonds-Debenture (.675), Mutual fund (.484), Post office Savings (.314), crypto currency (.946), Equity stocks (.536), Real Estate (.100) Insurance (.279) and Fraction Shares (.635). It shows that male and female are not having any statistical relationship for choosing investment avenues.

The p value of other investment avenues like Bank deposits (.026) and Gold-Silver (.000) is having the low value than 0.05 that shows there must be statistical relationship between and the choice of investment avenues. It shows that for the traditional avenues, male and females are influencing while choices of the investment avenues.

- 3) H_{02} :- There is no significant difference between choices of investment preference of millennials having different occupations
- 4) H2: There is significant difference between choices of Investment preferences of millennials having Different Occupations ANOVA

| | | Sum of Squares | df | Mean Square | F | Sig. |
|--------------|----------------|----------------|-----|-------------|-------|------|
| | Between Groups | 1.526 | 2 | .763 | 1.004 | .369 |
| Saving Ac | Within Groups | 129.260 | 170 | .760 | | |
| | Total | 130.786 | 172 | | | |
| | Between Groups | 11.145 | 2 | 5.572 | 4.024 | .020 |
| Bank Deposit | Within Groups | 235.433 | 170 | 1.385 | | |
| | Total | 246.578 | 172 | | | |
| | Between Groups | 4.784 | 2 | 2.392 | 2.311 | .102 |
| PPF | Within Groups | 175.933 | 170 | 1.035 | | |
| | Total | 180.717 | 172 | | | |
| | Between Groups | 16.454 | 2 | 8.227 | 8.198 | .000 |
| NSC | Within Groups | 170.598 | 170 | 1.004 | | |
| | Total | 187.052 | 172 | | | |
| | Between Groups | .712 | 2 | .356 | .538 | .585 |
| Govt Sec | Within Groups | 112.617 | 170 | .662 | | |
| | Total | 113.329 | 172 | | | |
| | Between Groups | .224 | 2 | .112 | .062 | .940 |
| LIC | Within Groups | 305.869 | 170 | 1.799 | | |
| | Total | 306.092 | 172 | | | |
| | Between Groups | 2.988 | 2 | 1.494 | 2.178 | .116 |
| Mutual fund | Within Groups | 116.642 | 170 | .686 | | |
| | Total | 119.630 | 172 | | | |

| | Between Groups | 2.729 | 2 | 1.364 | 2.436 | .091 |
|---------------------|----------------|---------|-----|-------|-------|------|
| Post Office Savings | Within Groups | 95.236 | 170 | .560 | | |
| | Total | 97.965 | 172 | | | |
| | Between Groups | .442 | 2 | .221 | .182 | .834 |
| Bond-Debt | Within Groups | 206.483 | 170 | 1.215 | | |
| | Total | 206.925 | 172 | | | |
| | Between Groups | 1.546 | 2 | .773 | 1.243 | .291 |
| Gold-Silver | Within Groups | 105.749 | 170 | .622 | | |
| | Total | 107.295 | 172 | | | |
| | Between Groups | 1.443 | 2 | .722 | 1.247 | .290 |
| Equity Stocks | Within Groups | 98.360 | 170 | .579 | | |
| | Total | 99.803 | 172 | | | |
| | Between Groups | 3.910 | 2 | 1.955 | 1.160 | .316 |
| Real Estate | Within Groups | 286.576 | 170 | 1.686 | | |
| | Total | 290.486 | 172 | | | |
| | Between Groups | .694 | 2 | .347 | .197 | .821 |
| Insurance | Within Groups | 299.364 | 170 | 1.761 | | |
| | Total | 300.058 | 172 | | | |
| Currento | Between Groups | 3.052 | 2 | 1.526 | 5.036 | .008 |
| Crypto | Within Groups | 51.503 | 170 | .303 | | |
| Currency | Total | 54.555 | 172 | | | |
| | Between Groups | .993 | 2 | .496 | 1.425 | .243 |
| Fraction | Within Groups | 59.204 | 170 | .348 | | |
| | Total | 60.197 | 172 | | | |

Table 4: ANNOVA Table between Investment Preferences and Occupation

In above table 4 most of the p value of the investment preferences shows more than 0.05 so we can say that we fail to reject null hypothesis and hence researcher can say that there is no statistical relationship between the choice of investment preferences and different occupations like saving account (.369), PPF (0.102) Govt Securities (.585), LIC (.940), Bonds-Debenture (.838), Mutual fund (.116), Gold-Silver (.291), Equity stocks (.290), Real Estate (.316) Insurance (.821) and Fraction Shares (.243), Post office Savings (.091)

The p value of other investment avenues like Bank deposits (.020), NSC (0.000), and crypto currency (.08) is having the low value than 0.05 that shows there must be statistical relationship between different occupations and the choice of investment avenues.

5) H03: There is no significant difference between investment preference of millennials and monthly income.

6) H3: There is significant difference between investment preference of millennials and monthly income.

| | ence between mves | ANOVA | | | | |
|--------------|-------------------|----------------|-----|-------------|-------|------|
| | | Sum of Squares | df | Mean Square | F | Sig. |
| | Between Groups | 1.950 | 4 | .487 | .636 | .638 |
| Saving A/c | Within Groups | 128.837 | 168 | .767 | | |
| | Total | 130.786 | 172 | | | |
| | Between Groups | 11.887 | 4 | 2.972 | 2.127 | .080 |
| Bank Deposit | Within Groups | 234.691 | 168 | 1.397 | | |
| | Total | 246.578 | 172 | | | |
| | Between Groups | 2.621 | 4 | .655 | .618 | .650 |
| PPF | Within Groups | 178.096 | 168 | 1.060 | | |
| | Total | 180.717 | 172 | | | |
| | Between Groups | 18.639 | 4 | 4.660 | 4.648 | .001 |
| NSC | Within Groups | 168.413 | 168 | 1.002 | | |
| | Total | 187.052 | 172 | | | |
| | Between Groups | 5.947 | 4 | 1.487 | 2.326 | .058 |
| Govt. Sec | Within Groups | 107.382 | 168 | .639 | | |
| | Total | 113.329 | 172 | | | |
| | Between Groups | 11.726 | 4 | 2.932 | 1.673 | .159 |
| LIC | Within Groups | 294.366 | 168 | 1.752 | | |
| | Total | 306.092 | 172 | | | |
| | Between Groups | 5.047 | 4 | 1.262 | 1.850 | .122 |
| Mutual fund | Within Groups | 114.583 | 168 | .682 | | |
| | Total | 119.630 | 172 | | | |
| Post Office | Between Groups | 6.351 | 4 | 1.588 | 2.912 | .023 |

| | Within Groups | 91.614 | 168 | .545 | | |
|-----------------|----------------|---------|-----|-------|-------|------|
| | Total | 97.965 | 172 | | | |
| | Between Groups | 7.157 | 4 | 1.789 | 1.505 | .203 |
| Bond-Debt | Within Groups | 199.768 | 168 | 1.189 | | |
| | Total | 206.925 | 172 | | | |
| | Between Groups | 4.131 | 4 | 1.033 | 1.682 | .156 |
| Gold-Silver | Within Groups | 103.164 | 168 | .614 | | |
| | Total | 107.295 | 172 | | | |
| | Between Groups | 2.976 | 4 | .744 | 1.291 | .276 |
| Equity Stocks | Within Groups | 96.828 | 168 | .576 | | |
| | Total | 99.803 | 172 | | | |
| | Between Groups | 4.373 | 4 | 1.093 | .642 | .633 |
| Real Estate | Within Groups | 286.112 | 168 | 1.703 | | |
| | Total | 290.486 | 172 | | | |
| | Between Groups | 12.579 | 4 | 3.145 | 1.838 | .124 |
| Insurance | Within Groups | 287.479 | 168 | 1.711 | | |
| | Total | 300.058 | 172 | | | |
| Co. vita | Between Groups | 3.339 | 4 | .835 | 2.738 | .030 |
| Crypto | Within Groups | 51.216 | 168 | .305 | | |
| Currency | Total | 54.555 | 172 | | | |
| Fraction shares | Between Groups | 3.589 | 4 | .897 | 2.663 | .034 |
| | Within Groups | 56.608 | 168 | .337 | | |
| | Total | 60.197 | 172 | | | |

Table 5: ANNOVA Table between Investment Preferences and Monthly Income

In above table 5 most of the p values are more than the 0.05 which fails to reject null hypothesis and there is no statistical relationship between choice of investment preferences and the different monthly income level. As the same the investment avenues like saving account (0.638), Bank deposit (.080), PPF (0.650), Govt. sec (0.058). LIC (.159), Mutual Fund (.122), Bond & Debt (.203), Gold -Silver (.156), Equity stocks (.276), Real estate (.633) and Insurance (.124) shows there is no relationship between the choice of the investment avenues and the different level of income.

On the basis of test, we can say that for investment preferences of NSC (0.00), Post office savings (.023) Cryptocurrency (.030) and Fraction Shares (.034) having the p value less than the level of significance 0.05 which shows that there is high level of differences between the choice of investment avenues and different level of income.

VIII. FINDINGS

As per the research study, it is found that Majority of male respondents are having awareness about the Investment avenues and they are likely to invest in different investment avenues. Most of the investors are having the Post graduate in their qualification. Millennials are having very long span for the investment out form their annual savings, many of the participants having the less savings out of their earnings. This could be due to other financial commitments such as marriage, pursuing higher education, or seeking career opportunities abroad, as well as the potential lack of awareness regarding the significance of investment. As study shows that most of the millennials are the risk taker and they are more versatile for their investment. Gender influences over choice of investment avenues like Bank Deposits and Gold-Silver. Occupation exerts significant influence over NSC, Bank Deposit, Cryptocurrency and Fraction Shares. Monthly income significantly influence old investment avenues like NSC and Post office savings. And also monthly income influence the new avenues like cryptocurrency and fraction shares as they have less awareness about the new avenues.

IX. RECOMMENDATIONS

On the basis of the empirical study conducted in this research paper, it is observed that millennials investors of Saurashtra Region of Gujarat district are generally less aware about the investment avenues and investment management. There is need of millennials' financial education and awareness program. Millennials need some awareness about to invest in the different avenues rather than spending in lavish expenditure of the life style.

X. CONCLUSION

It is crucial for the financial industry to comprehend and engage with the millennial generation, as they will constitute a significant portion of future investors. The conducted study has provided valuable insights into the investment tendencies of the millennials in Gujarat. The study asserts the importance of specific demographic factors such as Gender, income and occupation with investment choices of Millennials generation. It was evident that a significant portion of the millennials struggles with saving money, primarily due to their inclination towards spending lavishly. Even among those who do save, only a small fraction manages to accumulate a substantial amount by the end of the month. The study determined that there is no significant difference between investment avenues selection of male and female investors.

REFERENCES

- [1] GEETHA, N. & RAMESH, M.. (2012). A study on relevance of demographic factors in investment decisions. Perspectives of Innovations, Economics and Business. 10. 10.15208/pieb.2012.02.
- [2] Sumandiran Prithiviraj, Gokul G, 2016, Investment Behaviour of Individual Investors in Coimbatore City, INTERNATIONAL JOURNAL OF ENGINEERING RESEARCH & TECHNOLOGY (IJERT) NCRIT 2016 (Volume 4 Issue 27)
- [3] Kusumaningrum, Trias & Isbanah, Yuyun & Paramita, R.A. (2019). Factors Affecting Investment Decisions: Studies on Young Investors. International Journal of Academic Research in Accounting, Finance, and Management Sciences. 9. 10.6007/IJARAFMS/v9-i3/6321.
- [4] Kunaifi, Aang & Akbar, Ahnan. (2019). Financial Knowledge and Millennials Investing Behaviors in Indonesia. Jurnal Sosial Humaniora. Special Edition. 26. 10.12962/j24433527.v0i01.5768.
- [5] Raju, N. S. V. N., & Patra, A. (2020). An Analysis on Investor's Perception towards Investment Decision with reference to Indian Scenario (Andhra Pradesh). PalArch's Journal of Archaeology of Egypt/Egyptology, 17(9), 2066-2074.
- [6] Shinki K Pandey, Abhishek Vishwakarma (2020), A Study on Investment preferences of young investors in the city of Raipur Chhattisgarh, Indiae-Palarch's Journal Of Archaeology Of Egypt/Egyptology 17(9), ISSN 1567-214x.
- [7] Vaishali, Ms & Patil, Rajendra & Mali, Nitin. (2021). A study of investment behaviour of millennials in pune city. Journal of Contemporary Issues in Business and Government. 27. 2021. 10.47750/cibg.2021.27.02.597
- [8] Shyamala G & Dr. R. Mahesh(2022). An Evaluation of Savings and Investment Patterns of Millennials in India- A Review of Recent Literatures. IOSR Journal of Business and Management (IOSR-JBM) e-ISSN: 2278-487X, p-ISSN: 2319-7668. Volume 24, Issue 7. Ser. V (July. 2022), PP 16-21