Various Optimized Techniques for Task Scheduling in Fog Computing

Er. Parminder Kaur[1] Er. Harpreet Kaur[2]
[1,2]Department of Computer Science & Engineering
[1,2]Bahra Group of Institution, India

Abstract— Task scheduling plays a key role in cloud computing systems. Scheduling of tasks cannot be done on the basis of single criteria but under a lot of rules and regulations that we can term as an agreement between users and providers of cloud. This agreement is nothing but the quality of service that the user wants from the providers. Providing good quality of services to the users according to the agreement is a decisive task for the providers as at the same time there are a large number of tasks running at the provider's side.

Keywords: Task Scheduling, Fog Computing, HABC

I. INTRODUCTION

Fog computing[1] or fog organizing, otherwise called fogging,[2][3] is a design that utilizations at least one shared end-client customers or close client edge gadgets to do a generous measure of capacity, correspondence, control, arrangement, estimation and administration. Out of the numerous computing and programming focused models that are being received by Computer Networking, Fog Computing has caught a significant wide group of onlookers in Research and Industry. There is a great deal of perplexity on its exact definition, position, part and application. The Internet of Things (IOT), todays' digitized shrewd availability space, requests continuous reaction in numerous applications and administrations. This renders Fog Computing a reasonable stage for accomplishing objectives of self-sufficiency and productivity. The Fog computing worldview is to a great extent spurred by a consistent increment in Internet of Things (IoT) gadgets, where a consistently expanding measure of information (concerning volume, assortment, and speed [1]) is created from a consistently extending exhibit of gadgets.

Cloud errand planning has a place with NP-finish issue. An errand is a little bit of work that ought to be executed inside a given term of time. It is done on the premise of various parameters with the goal that it upgrades the general cloud execution. An assignment might be identified with entering information, handling, getting to programming, or capacity capacities. The server farm determines errands as indicated by the administration level assention and requested administrations. In the procedure, the clients present their business to the cloud scheduler. The cloud scheduler tests the cloud data benefit for securing the status of accessible assets and their properties and thus assigning the distinctive errands onto different assets according to the undertaking particulars. Cloud Scheduler will assign various client assignments to numerous virtual machines.

II. TASK SCHEDULING IN CLOUD

Scheduling is that the cluster of strategies that manage the order of execution of multiple tasks on the processors therefore on decrease the time and value required to execute of those tasks. at intervals the cloud atmosphere, task hardware plays vital role of allocating cloud provider's resources among the massive type of users. Task designing deals with distribution of the tasks among the cloud servers that technique or execute these tasks for user (or client). Associate economical task designing policy provides correct utilization of resources, load deed and improvement of execution value and time. Therefore these days task designing is main analysis topic at intervals the area of cloud computing. There ar various varieties of designing like static, dynamic, pre-emptive, non-pre-emptive, centralized and distributed designing.[1]

An economical Multi Queue Job programming for Cloud Computing [1]: once FCFS and spherical Robin rule is employed fragmentation happens at several stages therefore wastage of area and client value is accumulated. during this paper author projected MQS (Multi Queue Scheduling) programming rule. during which initial of all task square measure appointed in ascending order then it's divided into medium, little and enormous size queue. Then Meta computer hardware allocates the task to the virtual machine. The results of this rule show that will increase user satisfaction and utilize the free unused area therefore performance is accumulated.

Improved Max-Min programming Model for Task programming in Cloud [2]: during this paper varied rule is mentioned then improve the Max-Min programming rule. once min-min programming rule is employed resource imbalance drawback has occurred. In max-min programming rule most size allotted to minimum completion time. Makespan is best than Min-Min rule. RASA rule it's referred to as resource aware task programming rule during which Min-Min and Max-Min each rule is combined. once resource is even then Max-Min rule is employed and resource is odd then Min-Min rule is employed. The result show that RASA rule has higher makespan then Max-Min. Improved max-min rule during which largest most task is allotted to the slowest resources. therefore alternative smaller task is dead on quicker resources and waiting time is attenuate. results of this rule is showing that higher makespan then all algorithms.

A Dynamic optimisation rule for task programming in Cloud atmosphere [6]: during this paper rule is projected it's helpful to each the service supplier and user. during this paper the task square measure grade supported task work time and Resource value. The prioritization of the task is predicated on finding best appropriate resources within the cloud. The results of this rule show that improves value and completion time of tasks as compared to serial assignment.

User Priority based mostly Min-Min programming rule for Load equalisation in Cloud Computing [3]: during this paper authors solves the resource imbalance and user priority drawback. during this priority based mostly. Load balance rule initial of all the meta task is split into influential person and standard based (Priority based) cluster then minimum size task is given to then minimum completion time of the resources. once all the task is appointed to the resources resource imbalance drawback is made therefore schedule the task to the low load resources. The result show that higher makespan then Min-Min rule and user priority additionally

glad. Disadvantage of this rule is that once high priority task is simply too massive then lower priority task has looking forward to durable. So, starvation drawback is made.

Priority based mostly. Job programming rule In Cloud Computing [8]: during this paper author projected new programming rule is predicated on multi-criteria and multi-decision priority based rule. during this rule the task is split into 3 level: object level, attribute level and alternate level. The priority are often set during this rule is job resource magnitude relation. Bases on priority vector the task is compared with queue. The results of rule show that higher outturn and fewer end time.

HEFT based mostly work flow programming rule for value optimisation at intervals point in time in Hybrid Cloud [5]: during this paper projected rule main specialize in tasks method availableness of resources. during this rule resources ought to be taken supported the lease line of the general public cloud to execute the task is completed at intervals point in time and with minimum value. A hybrid programming rule projected new thought sub-deadline of the rule and allocation of the resources publically cloud. This rule is employed best for choice of resources with minimum value. The result show that minimum value performance is accumulated.

III. CHARACTERISTICS

Bonomi et al. [1] states that the building squares of both Fog and Cloud are calculation power, stockpiling, and organizing segments. As indicated by Luan et al [9] the support of area mindfulness recognizes Fog computing from Cloud computing. He likewise asserts that distributed computing is regularly portrayed by area mindfulness, since they are situated in a brought together place what's more, have versatile storage room and figure control not at all like the Fog server, which has constrained capacity, calculation control and a remote interface. IoT gadgets uses and depends on Fog server or layer to do register forms, stockpiling, correspondence, control, arrangement, and administration. IoT end-gadgets are found near the wellspring of data, the Fog server will decrease the inertness and jitter. It can likewise improve the inertness and jitter with the goal that the end-gadgets can accomplish millisecond-level inactivity. The assorted variety in geological conveyance of Fog servers enables the ability for IoT gadgets to know about their area in light of the sent area of Fog servers.

As indicated by CISCO [4], IoT gadgets will produce gigantic measure of information and activity which will over-burden interconnect joins. With the Fog layer or servers conveyed, the information related with IoT gadgets can be overseen shut to the source, in this manner sparing the data transfer capacity on spine connections and decreasing the system activity [4, 8, 10]. Furthermore, Fog computing likewise incorporates the help for client versatility, asset and interface heterogeneity [10]. The Fog likewise empowers continuous cooperations and appropriated information investigation to address the necessities of generally disseminated applications that requires low dormancy and gives better security as indicated by CISCO. Moreover, Fog likewise gives interoperability to consistent incorporation of

extensive variety of administrations, for example, gushing [11].

IV. BEE LIFE OPTIMIZATION

A novel advancement plot by hybridizing an artificial bee colony optimizer (HABC) with a bee life-cycle mechanism, for both stationary and dynamic streamlining issues. The principle advancement of the proposed HABC is to build up an agreeable and populace differing plan, in which people can powerfully move their conditions of birth, searching, passing, and multiplication all through the artificial bee colony life cycle. That is, the bee colony size can be balanced progressively as indicated by the nearby wellness landscape amid calculation execution. This new normal for HABC stays away from redundant hunt and keep up assorted variety of populace in complex conditions.

As in this exploration an investigation is done on to plan the activity in mist registering that are in static manner i.e. in which the employments are pre-booked and length of occupation is as of now known to director. In any case, in the event that if basic a vocation with shorter due date is there then this calculation won't think about this activity, and it will end at starvation of assets. This prompts the planning of another calculation in which the preemptive activity booking or dynamic employment planning is to be composed.

V. LOAD BALANCING FOR TASK SCHEDULING

Load Balancing [6] is a procedure which isolates the workload over different computing assets, for example, PCs, hard drives and system. In this reasonable assignment of assets of customer ask for endeavored to accomplish in the best approach to guarantee legitimate use of asset utilization. It in like manner tries to settle the issue that all the processor in the structures and every center point in the framework must impart square to quantify of workload which is doled out to them. It can make practical through legitimate equipment or programming which can be a multilayer or an area name framework process. The key components which make productive load adjusting are reinforcement design on the off chance that the framework flops a bit, guaranteeing framework strength, throughput, reaction time, least idleness, least system delay, execution time, low overhead , low deferral and adaptability.

In a Cloud computing condition distinctive load adjusting booking exists among which initially, is the Clump mode heuristic booking calculation where employments are lined in a set and gathered as clumps as they arrive in the framework after which they begin after a settled day and age. Its illustrations are First Come First Serve (FCFS), Min-Max calculation, Min-Min calculation and Round Robin (RR) calculation [7, 8, 9]. Second, one is On-line mode heuristic planning here employments are planned separately as they touch base in the framework. These calculations are more doable in a cloud situation as the frameworks may have distinctive stages and execution speed its illustration is Most fit undertaking planning calculation. Load adjusting calculation is executed by first assessing the aggregate load on C.P.U, Memory and Network together. Second, by examining how the hubs are interfacing with each other, their reasonableness as the framework by evaluating burden and

contrasting nature. Third, Load balancer ought not be a solitary purpose of disappointment. It has turned into a need as the notoriety of the Web is expanding a result of more utilization of social organizing sites, extensive databases and E-Commerce as it is driving numerous organizations to complete regularly so it requests high transfer speed. It gives single Internet benefit from different servers known as a server cultivate.

VI. RELATED STUDY

Minhaj Ahmad Khan[2012][2], recommended a novel approach which utilized idea of compelled basic ways to give better calendar for undertaking to be relegated to assets in cloud condition.

Cristina Boeres[2004][3], proposed bunch based methodology for undertaking planning. The bunches of errands were made and these bunches mapped to settled number of accessible processors.

Oliver Sinnen[2011][4], proposed duplication calculation in light of condition of workmanship methods found in Task duplication. This calculation killed correspondence cost in the wake of putting undertakings upon same processor.

Selvarani[2010][7], proposed a calculation called Improved cost-based calculation in which assignments were assembled according to preparing energy of assets. Cost changed in view of intricacy of undertakings. The technique decreased handling cost as errands are planned in light of their separate cost for various assets.

L.F Bittencourt[2010][8], proposed Lookahead calculation whose principle include is processor choice approach. The calculation registered soonest complete time for youngster assignments on each processor.

VII. EXISTING SCHEMES

Distinctive booking calculation in distributed computing for arranged planning are as recorded underneath:

A. Green Energy-Efficient Scheduling

This calculation has been proposed for cloud server farm with a dynamic voltage recurrence scaling (DVFS) strategy. This calculation can productively increment asset usage and it can likewise diminish the vitality utilization for executing occupations. The DVFS procedure is regularly utilized as a part of electrical gadgets, for example, mobile phones, PDAs and PCs to lessen the power utilization.

B. Improved differential development

IDEA streamlines undertaking planning and asset distribution in view of the proposed cost and time techniques on distributed computing condition. This cost display incorporates the preparing, accepting model and time show incorporates accepting, handling and holding up time.

C. Dynamic Resource Allocation Calculations

This calculation performs pre-emtable assignment improvement and consequently can expand the use of cloud condition. Two calculations have been proposed as on the web dynamic asset designation for Framework as a Service. Asset designation is balanced powerfully and this calculation utilizes refreshed data of current errands being executed. Execution is enhanced in this planning calculation.

D. Centralized versus Decentralized

In Brought together planning, choice is made by a focal hub. The points of interest are: productivity, simplicity of task what's more, checking on assets [5]. Then again they have a few entanglements like: versatility issue, intrinsic multifaceted nature and adaptation to non-critical failure. Decentralized or Conveyed kind of planning is more down to earth without a doubt cloud condition paying little heed to its poor productivity contrasted with its partner.

E. Static versus Dynamic Booking

In static mode, everything from undertaking execution time to asset capacities is known ahead of time. An errand doled out once to an asset stays same [5], so it's substantially less complex to execute uncommonly from scheduler's point of view. In instance of dynamic undertaking booking, assets are progressively accessible for booking.

F. Preemptive versus Non-Preemptive

In preemptive booking, assignment can be hindered while in execution and can be exchanged to another machine. On the off chance that imperatives, for example, need, due date and cost are to be forced then this kind of planning is moved toward becoming required. In Non-Preemptive planning assets [6] are not allowable to be re-allotted until planned task(s) completed its execution or energetically they exchange their control.

VIII. PROPOSED WORK

1) STEP 1: Virtual machines in idle state. It describes about the main screen that is showing the machines that are in the idle state that is no load is assigned to them. In the proposed scheme the load migrated is done on the basis of parameters like utilization, speed, memory and power where VM is the virtual machine.

2) STEP 2: Load the machines. It describes about the machines when load is assigned to all the machines. The assigned values described about the load on various machines. When the load is allocated to the various machines continuously and it reaches the threshold value, the load will be migrated from that loaded machine to the other underloaded machine.

3) STEP 3: Overloaded Machine. It describes about the overloaded machine that is in the red mark. The assumed threshold for the overload condition to occur is above 80%.When the threshold is crossed, the load in the machine is migrated to the optimal destination having less load on it.

4) STEP 4: According to the priority with respect to the parameters like utilization, memory, speed and power of the virtual machines, the optimal destination is chosen. It describes about the selection of the candidate Virtual Machine that to which the load is to be transferred according to the priority table. In the research a priority table is developed by the algorithm, for the calculation of the destination machine.

5) STEP 5: Selection of the Virtual Machine that to which the load is to be transferred according to the priority

table. The optimal destination is chosen according to the priority with respect to the parameters like utilization, memory, speed and power of the virtual machines. The machine having less load on it and greater speed and better power, the load will be transferred to it. It describes about the selection of the candidate Virtual Machine that to which the load is to be transferred according to the priority table.

6) STEP 6: Downtime during the load sharing. Downtime is defined as the time at which the virtual machines stop executing. It includes transfer of the processor state. In the proposed approach, the downtime is decreased which results in better performance. The downtime can be calculated by the formula:

Total Downtime = Stop-and-copy + commitment + activation.

7) STEP 7: Efficiency. In the proposed approach, the efficiency of the system is improved.

IX. RESULTS AND DISCUSSION

A. Make Span:

In the proposed approach, the makespan is decreased which results in better performance.


Fig. 4: Makespan

B. Load:

In the proposed approach, the load of the system is improved.

Fig. 5: Load

C. Throughput:

Throughput may be defined as the ratio of output to input in a system. In this graph the throughput of the proposed system is better than that of existing scheme.


Fig. 6: Throughput

D. Average Energy Consumption:

It may be defined as the power that is consumed to perform certain operations in a virtual machine. From the graph it is very clear that the proposed system is more efficient than that of existing one.


Fig. 7: Average Energy Consumption

E. Comparison Table of Work Performed:

Here the comparison takes place between the base paper and the work performed. The results produced by the work are better than the previous work done.


Fig. 8: Down Time(sec)

F. Down Time:

This may be defined as the time span for which the system was in the idle state, in which no work is done. From the graph it is clear that the down time in proposed system is less than that of existing system.


Fig. 9: Efficiency

G. Efficiency:

This may be defined as the ratio of output to the input. Efficiency of a system may define the performance of it. From the graph it is clear that the efficiency in proposed system is more than that of existing system.


Fig. 10: Delay

H. Delay:

This may be defined as the time taken for a task to be completed. From the graph it is clear that the delay in proposed system is less than that of existing system.


Fig. 11: Delivery Time (ms)

I. Delivery Time(ms):

This may be defined as the time taken for a task to be delivered. From the graph it is clear that the delay in proposed system is less than that of existing system.

Approach	Down Time (sec)	Efficiency (%)	Make Span (ms)	Load (bits)	Throughput (bits)	Avg Energy Consumption (joule)	Delay	Delivery Time
SPA	11.3	85	0.2	0.9	1100	0.0013	10.6	9.5
Improved SPA	9.7	93	0.14	0.8	1200	0.001	8.7	7.9

Table 1: Comparison table of work performed

Downtime is defined as the time at which the virtual machines stop executing. It includes transfer of the processor state. In the proposed approach, the downtime is decreased which results in better performance.

X. CONCLUSION

This article studied the writing on fog computing. In view of a point by point depiction of fog frameworks, related ideas are distinguished and contrasts among them are displayed. Illustrative utilize cases covering two diverse application spaces (i.e., IoT and CDN) are presented and utilized as a premise to infer an arrangement of assessment criteria for fog frameworks. These criteria are utilized to basically survey the structures and calculations proposed so far in the zone of fog computing. A set of lessons learned are inferred in view of the writing survey. The rest of the difficulties and comparing research headings are talked about. Likewise, we have talked about the prospects of fog computing with an attention on the part it might play in developing advancements, for example, Tactile Internet. The exchanges incorporate cases of difficulties and research headings.

REFERENCES

[1] Stefania Conti, Giuseppe Faraci, Rosario Nicolosi, SantiAgatino Rizzo, Giovanni Schembra, "Battery Management in a Green Fog-Computing Node", a Reinforcement-Learning Approach, IEEE, vol: 5, July 2017, page no: 21126 - 21138

[2] SalimBitam, SheraliZeadally and AbdelhamidMellouk, "Fog computing job scheduling optimization basedon bees swarm", Enterprise Information Systems, vol: 12, issue: 4, 12 Sept 2017, page no: 1-25

[3] Manreet Kaur and Monika Bharti, "Securing user data on cloud using Fog computing and Decoy technique", International Journal of Advance Research in Computer Science and Management Studies, ISSN: 2321-7782 (Online), vol: 2, issue: 10, October 2014, page no: 104-110

[4] Santosh Kumar and R. H. Goudar, "Cloud Computing – Research Issues, Challenges, Architecture, Platforms and Applications: A Survey", International Journal of Future

Computer and Communication, vol: 1, issue: 4, December 2012, page no: 356-360.

[5] Gajender Pal, Kuldeep Kumar Barala, Manish Kumar, "A Review Paper on Cloud Computing", International Journal For Research In Appllied Science and Engineering Technology, ISSN: 2321-9653, vol: 2,issue IX, September 2014, page no: 401-403

[6] XiongLuo, YixuanLv, Ruixing Li, Yi Chen, "Web Service QoS Prediction Based on Adaptive Dynamic Programming Using Fuzzy NeuralNetworks for Cloud Services", Special Section on Challenges For Smart Worlds, ISSN: 2169-3536, Vol: 3, 2015, pp: 2260-2269

[7] Ahmad NaharQuttoum, MohannadTomar, Bayan Khawaldeh, RanaRefai, AlaaHalawani, Ahmad Freej, "SPA: Smart Placement Approach for Cloud-service Datacenter Networks", The 10th International Conference on Future Networks and Communications, Vol: 56, 2015, pp: 341-348

[8] ChonglinGu, Pengzhou Shi, Shuai Shi, Hejiao Huang, XiaohuaJia, "A Tree Regression-Based Approach for VM Power Metering", Special Section On Big Data For Green Communications And Computing, IEEE, June 1, 2015

[9] Zhaoning Zhang, Ziyang Li, Kui Wu, Dongsheng Li, Huiba Li, YuxingPeng, Xicheng Lu, "VMThunder: Fast Provisioning of Large-Scale Virtual Machine Clusters", IEEE Transactiononparalleland distributed systems, Vol. 25, No. 12, DECEMBER 2014

[10] Jiaxin Li, Dongsheng Li, Yuming Ye, Xicheng Lu, "Efficient Multi-Tenant Virtual Machine Allocation in Cloud Data Centers", TSINGHUA SCIENCE AND TECHNOLOGY, ISSN: 1007-0214, Volume 20, Number 1, February 2015, pp: 81-89

[11] Gursharan Singh, Sunny Behal, MonalTaneja, "Advanced Memory Reusing Mechanism for Virtual Machines in Cloud Computing", 3rd International Conference on Recent Trends in Computing,Vol: 57, 2015, pp: 91-103

[12] Narander Kumar, Swati Saxena, "Migration Performance of Cloud Applications- A Quantitative Analysis", International Conference on Advanced Computing Technologies and Applications, Vol. 45, 2015, pp: 823-831

[13] Aarti Singh, Dimple Juneja, ManishaMalhotra, "Autonomous Agent Based Load Balancing Algorithm in Cloud Computing", International Conference on Advanced Computing Technologies and Applications, Vol: 45, 2015, pp: 832-841

[14] S. Sohrabi, I. Moser, "The Effects of Hotspot Detection and Virtual Machine Migration Policies on Energy Consumption and Service Levels in the Cloud", ICCS, Vol: 51, 2015, pp: 2794-2798

[15] Mohammad Mehedi Hassan, AtifAlamri, "Virtual Machine Resource Allocation for Multimedia Cloud: A Nash Bargaining Approach", International Symposium on Emerging Inter-networks, Communication and Mobility, Vol: 34, 2015, pp: 571-576

[16] Christina Terese Josepha, Chandrasekaran K, Robin Cyriaca, "A Novel Family Genetic Approach for Virtual Machine Allocation", International Conference on Information and Communication Technologies, Vol: 46, 2015.