A Review: A Case Study of Non-Destructive Techniques in Retrofitting of MP Govt. Water Tank & Buildings Structures

Praveen Barpate[1] Dr. Ajay Swarup[2]
[1]Research Scholar [2]Assistant Professor
[1,2]SSSUTMS Sehore India

Abstract— This report of Deterioration of Strength of RCC Residential Buildings using Rebound Hammer as dissertation is done for the purpose that how the deterioration in strength varies with age of construction and varies with respect to other factors affecting them. This proposed work is done by following the various steps of working procedure.

Key words: Need of Retrofit, Non-Destructive Techniques in Retrofitting

I. INTRODUCTION

In India the number of the non-engineered structure are in large number. These structures are more susceptible to the damage due to above-mentioned reasons. Therefore, there is need to develop the technique, which is reliable, economical and easy to applicable, to reduce the loss of economy & lives that people suffer.

The various research has been carried out in this field which show that use of simple materials like Ferro-cement belt in non-engineered structure at lintel, vertical single reinforcing bar in the corners, diagonal bracings in roof, reduction in roof dead load etc. fundamentally increment the resistance of the structures to withstand the seismic powers. It is the method of increasing the seismic resistance of the building after the seismic effects with the repairing of damage to strengthening the structure to resist the seismic force than existed before the effect of seismic or adverse conditions.

A. Need of Retrofit

There is need of retrofitting to regain the above mention properties which may decline due to following causes, which are been categorizes as:

1) Abrasion

In the event that a material is more than once struck by particles from a harder body, Abrasion takes place. This is because of the rubbing which the harder powder particles exercise on the surface of the material. It is in this way very clear that Abrasion depends specifically on the qualities of the materials which make up the solid. Therefore, we can enhance imperviousness to Abraded area by diminishing the water/ concrete proportion or by sprinkling bond blended with hard admixes and totals at first glance of the concrete.

2) Impact

Another type of disintegration because of mechanical components, is that incited by effect. A number of contemplations must be presented in this defense, since concrete is a delicate material which, if subject to effects of a sure force, falls apart and its quality will be diminished. Harm because of effect is not as a matter of course quickly noticeable, and in specific cases numerous effect cycles are required; for illustration, floor joints subject to the entry of mechanical transport implies. For this situation, the main method for diminishing the impacts of disintegration is to plan concrete which is as solid as could reasonably be expected.

3) Erosion

Disintegration is a specific type of wear because of wind, water or ice which incites the evacuation of material from the surface. It relies on upon the velocity, the substance of hard clean particles and the nature of the solid. For this situation, the main cure is to take uncommon consideration when blending the concrete. The same rules concerning scraped spot ought to be taken after.

4) Cavitations

Cavitations is an issue where streaming water (> 12 m/s) is available. The rapid of the water, together with an unpredictable surface where the water streams, incite turbulence and regions of low weight, and vortexes will frame which disintegrate the substrate. The air bubbles which frame in the water stream downstream, and when they keep running into a zone of high weight, implode and cause a solid effect, bringing about disintegration. In the event that the velocity of the water is especially high, disintegration due to cavitations may occur. The occurrence of cavitations may be kept away from by laying smooth surfaces without hindrances along the water course.

5) Defects

Concrete is a blend of various components. The way it is relies on upon each venture's single necessities; the higher the prerequisites, the more fragile the outline of blend will be. The principle parts are concrete, totals, water and admixtures. On the off chance that any of these components are utilized inaccurately, the chances of defects are very high. On the off chance that we consider a situation where the best items accessible available are utilized, however because of an absence of experience, or for any of various different reasons, they are mixed together utilizing wrong blending proportions, the outcome will be the same as though poorer quality items had been utilized.

B. Economics of Retrofit

The financial aspects of retrofitting demonstrate that the retrofitting file (or the proportion of the expense of retrofitting over the aggregate expense of new development) is as low as 5%.

Demolishing of the structure which is little damage or undamaged by the owner is expensive (as it include cost of dismantling, carting of debris& cost of reconstruction.), inconvenient and time consuming.

While On other hand the retrofitting is the fast, economical (about 5%-7% of above mentioned expenditure cost), and retains the utility of structure for which it has been designed with the safety for long period.

C. Flexibility of Retrofit

On the basis of the availability of the funds, time and convenience the one can decide whether he/she take one or

more measure in the part or whole of the structure during the retrofitting method.

D. Instruments Used

– Schmidt Rebound Hammer
– Rebar Locator

1) Schmidt Rebound Hammer

Schmidt Rebound hammer created in 1948 by an Ernst Schmidt a Swiss engineer for testing concrete, based upon Rebound guideline when a mallet strikes concrete. The level of Rebound is a sign of hardness of concrete structure. It comprises of a spring control pound that slides on a plunger inside of a tubular lodging. The plunger is reached out from the body of the instrument, which causes a seize component to snatch the hammer. The body of the instrument is then pushed towards the solid surface which extends the spring appended to the mallet and body. At the point when stretched as far as possible, the plunger is discharged and the mallet is pushed towards the solid by mix of gravity and spring strengths. After then mallet strikes up to the shoulder of plunger and it Rebound. The Rebound separation went by a spring control mass is known as the Rebound number and it is measured on a scale which is connected to a rider. This test can be led evenly, vertically or at any middle edge. Subsequent to knowing Rebound number, the graph is utilized which demonstrates the relationship between compressive quality and Rebound number.

The Schmidt Rebound Hammer is primarily a surface hardness analyzer. It works at the rule that the Rebound of a flexible mass relies on upon the hardness of the surface against which the mass encroaches. There is minimal obvious hypothetical connection between's the quality of concrete and the Rebound number of the sledge. Be that as it may, inside of points of confinement, exact connections have been built up between quality properties and the Rebound number. For taking a measurement, the hammer should be held at right angles to the surface of the structure. The test hence can be directed on a level plane on vertical surface and vertically upwards or downwards on even surfaces.

Hisham Y. Qasrawi (2000) presents the involvement in the estimation of solid quality by consolidated techniques for nondestructive testing is abridged in this paper. Both the customary surely understood bounce back mallet and ultrasonic heartbeat speed tests were utilized as a part of the study. Different diagrams demonstrating the outcomes are exhibited. All outlines demonstrate the 95% forecast interims, accordingly empowering experts to foresee solid quality basically and dependably. Dissimilar to other work, the examination finished with one straightforward graph that requires no past learning of the constituents of the tried cement. The strategy introduced is basic, snappy, dependable, and covers wide scopes of solid qualities. The technique can be effortlessly connected to solid examples and in addition existing solid structures. The last results were contrasted and past ones from writing furthermore with real results got from tests separated from existing structures.

Abdullah A. Almusallam (2001) analyzed the reports aftereffects of a study directed to survey the impact of level of consumption of strengthening steel bars on their mechanical properties. Strengthening steel bars, 6 and 12 mm in distance across, that were consumed in fortified solid examples were evacuated and tried in pressure. Results demonstrated that the level of support consumption does not impact the rigidity of steel bars, computed on the genuine region of cross-area. In any case, when the ostensible measurement is used in the figuring, the elasticity is not exactly the ASTM A 615 necessity of 600 MPa when the level of erosion was 11 and 24% for 6-and 12-mm distance across steel bars, separately. Besides, fortifying steel bars with more than 12% consumption demonstrates a fragile disappointment.

Ch. Alk. Apostolopoulos, M.P. Papadopoulos, Sp. G. Pantelakis (2005) described the consequences of an exploratory study for evaluating the impacts of the step by step gathering erosion harm because of research facility salt splash consumption on the mechanical conduct of strengthening steel bars Class BSt 500s tempcore are exhibited. The trials have demonstrated that the erosion presentation causes an obvious mass misfortune which increments with expanding length of time of introduction. This prompts a critical increment of the connected anxiety. Furthermore, a moderate diminishment of the material's elasticity values and a huge decrease of the pliable flexibility were watched. For research center salt shower presentation times, which are sensible for reenacting characteristic consumption, the elastic properties of the steel bars drop to values lying beneath the points of confinement which are set in the norms in the blink of an eye in power for utilizing steels as a part of fortified solid individuals.

Pham Xuan Dat, Yu Jun (2015) describes the dynamic breakdown resistance of strengthened cement (RC) building structures can be evaluated by sudden section misfortune situations. Penultimate section misfortune is among the most basic situations since it leaves the influenced shaft piece frameworks with absence of outside parallel limitations. Under such unplanned circumstance, flexural activity in the twofold compass bars and sections crossing over the uprooted segment is tentatively distinguished as the fundamental system to redistribute the gravity loads, which are opened up by twofold compass impact and dynamic impact. This paper displays a rearranged approach for dynamic breakdown evaluation of RC building structures subjected to a penultimate segment misfortune. The breakdown resistance is figured in light of a romanticized elastic–plastic static reaction of a twofold compass shaft chunk structure, which is built with (i) extreme flexural limit of the bar section structure that is dictated by yield-line technique for examination and (ii) uprooting pliability at the evacuated segment position that is set up taking into account arch flexibility of a basic association touching on the influenced zone. The admired static reaction is approved by test consequences of 12 pillar piece sub-gathering tests. Basic regulated techniques together with worked illustrations are given. Useful utilization of this methodology and plan suggestions for alleviating dynamic breakdown are talked about.

REFERENCES

[1] Bureau of Indian Standards:IS-516-1959, "Methods of Test for Strength of Concrete", New delhi,2003.
[2] Ch.Alk. Apostolopoulos, M.P. Papadopoulos, "Tensile conduct of eroded strengthening steel bars BSt 500".Journal of University of Patras, Panepistimioupolis Rion, 26 500 Patras, Greece Issue on 31 January 2005.

[3] D.C.K. Tay, C.T. Hat "In Situ examination of the quality of decayed cement". Diary of Department of Civil Engineering, The National University of Singapore, Singapore. Issue on 09 July 1995.
[4] Doreen Grossmann, Bernd Hirschl, Ray Galvin "A procedure for assessing bounce back impacts in non-private open administration structures: Case investigation of four structures in Germany". Diary of E.ON Energy Research Center, Faculty of Business and Economics, RWTH-Aachen University, Mathieustrasse 10, 52074 Aachen, Germany. Issue on 24 November 2015.
[5] Ramazan Demirboga, Fatma Karagol "The impact of calcium nitrate as liquid catalyst admixture on the compressive quality of cement presented to low temperatures". Issue on 04 February 2015.
[6] Samindi M.K. Samarakoon "Fortifying, adjustment and repair strategies' prioritization for basic respectability control of maturing seaward structures". Issue on 2014.

