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Abstract—Wireless sensor network is a self-organized network that consists of a large number of low-cost and low-powered sensor devices, called sensor nodes. Recent advances in wireless sensor networks have resulted in a unique capability to remotely sense the environment. These systems are often deployed in remote or hard-to-reach areas. Hence, it is critical that such networks operate unattended for long durations. Unlike the cellular networks and ad hoc networks where energy has no limits in base stations or batteries can be replaced as needed, nodes in sensor networks have very limited energy and their batteries cannot usually be recharged or replaced due to hostile environments. Therefore, extending network lifetime through the efficient use of energy has been a key issue in the development of wireless sensor networks. In distributed fault detection (DFD) scheme for wireless sensor networks the status of each sensor node to be either good or faulty is based on the neighboring nodes, but in the DFD algorithm[1] when the sensor fault probability increases the fault detection accuracy decreases and the false alarm rate increases rapidly. In this paper an improved DFD scheme is proposed to detect intermittently faulty sensor nodes and to stringent power budget during fault diagnosis process on sensor nodes in wireless sensor network. Simulation results demonstrates that the improved DFD scheme reduce energy consumption in comparison with previous algorithm. The proposed mechanism is implemented with MATLAB.
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I. INTRODUCTION

Wireless sensor network (WSN) is widely considered as one of the most important technologies for the twenty-first century [1]. In the past decades, it has received tremendous attention from both academia and industry all over the world. A WSN typically consists of a large number of low-cost, low-power, and multifunctional wireless sensor nodes, with sensing, wireless communications and computation capabilities. Many researchers have been working towards fault detection in WSNs. However, the limited ability of individual sensor nodes and the tremendous scale of a sensor network make detecting failures and ensuring network availability more difficultly. One reason behind the growing popularity of wireless sensors is that they can work in remote areas without manual intervention. All the user needs to do is to gather the data sent by the sensors, and with certain analysis extract meaningful information from them. Usually sensor applications involve many sensors deployed together. These sensors form a network and collaborate with each other to gather data and send it to the base station. The base station acts as the control center where the data from the sensors are gathered for further analysis and processing. In a nutshell, a wireless sensor network (WSN) is a wireless network consisting of spatially distributed nodes which use sensors to monitor physical or environmental conditions. These nodes combine with routers and gateways to create a WSN system. The WSN is made of nodes from a few to several hundred, where each node is connected to one or several sensors. The basic components of a node are:

- Sensor and actuator - an interface to the physical world designed to sense the environmental parameters like pressure and temperature.
- Controller - is to control different modes of operation for processing of data
- Memory - storage for program data.
- Communication - a device like antenna for sending and receiving data over a wireless channel.
- Power Supply- supply of energy for smooth operation of a node like battery.

Fig. 1: A Wireless Sensor Node

In this approach, the message of updating the node residual battery is applied to track the existence of sensor nodes. A cell manager employs the self-detection approach and regularly monitors its residual energy status. All sensor nodes start with the same residual energy. After going through various transmissions, the node energy decreases. If the node energy becomes less than or equal to 20% of battery life, the node is ranked as low energy node and becomes liable to put to sleep. If the node energy is greater or equal to 50% of the battery life, it is ranked as high and becomes the promising candidate for the cell manager. Thus, if a cell manager residual energy becomes less than or equal to 20% of battery life, it then triggers the alarm and notifies its cell members and the group manager of its low energy status and appoints a new cell manager to replace it.

II. FAULT DIAGNOSIS

Detection of faulty sensor nodes can be achieved by two mechanisms i.e. self-detection (or passive-detection) and
active-detection. In self-detection, sensor nodes are required to periodically monitor their residual energy, and identify the potential failure. In this scheme, we consider the battery depletion as a main cause of node sudden death. A node is termed as failing when its energy drops below the threshold value. When a common node is failing due to energy depletion, it sends a message to its cell manager that it is going to sleep mode due to energy below the threshold value. This requires no recovery steps[6]. Self-detection is considered as a local computational process of sensor nodes, and requires less in-network communication to conserve the node energy. In addition, it also reduces the response delay of the management system towards the potential failure of sensor nodes. To efficiently detect the node sudden death, our fault management system employed an active detection mode. In this approach, the message of updating the node residual battery is applied to track the existence of sensor nodes[7]. In active detection, cell manager asks its cell members on regular basis to send their updates. Such as the cell manager sends “get” messages to the associated common nodes on regular basis and in return nodes send their updates. This is called in-cell update cycle. The update_msg consists of node ID, energy and location information. As shown in figure, exchange of update messages takes place between cell manager and its cell members. If the cell manager does not receive an update from any node then it sends an instant message to the node acquiring about its status. If cell manager does not receive the acknowledgement in a given time, it then declares the node faulty and passes this information to the remaining nodes in the cell. Cell managers only concentrate on its cell members and only inform the group manager for further assistant if the network performance of its small region has been in a critical level. Every cell manager sends health status information to its group manager. This is called out-cell update cycle and are less frequent than in-cell update cycle. If a group manager does not hear from a particular cell manager during out-cell update cycle, it then sends a quick reminder to the cell manager and enquires about its status. If the group manager does not hear from the same cell manager again during second update cycle, it then declares the cell manager faulty and informs its cell members. This approach is used to detect the sudden death of a cell manager.

Group manager also monitor its health status regularly and respond when its residual energy drops below the threshold value. It notifies its cell members and neighboring group managers of its low energy status and an indication to appoint a new group manager. Sudden death of a group manager can be detected by the base station. If the node does not receive any traffic from a particular group manager, it then consults the group manager and asks for its current status. If the base station does not receive any acknowledgement, it then considers the group manager faulty (sudden death) and propagates this information to its cell managers. The base station primarily focuses on the existence of the group managers from their sudden death. Meanwhile, the group managers and cell managers take most parts in passive and active detection in the network.

![Fig. 2: Virtual Grid of Nodes](Image)

![Fig. 3: Fault detection diagnosis](Image)

**III. SELF-MANAGING FAULT MANAGEMENT MECHANISM FOR WSN**

We will assume a scenario on sensor nodes. Our aim is to transfer information from one node to another. But this path should overcome all the faults in between. A new technique is introduced for detecting faulty nodes and rerouting the path to get the shortest possible path from sender to receiver which is fault free. In this approach a new fault management mechanism was proposed to deal with fault detection. It proposes a hierarchical structure to properly distribute fault management tasks among sensor nodes by heavily introducing more self-managing functions. The proposed fault detection algorithms have been compared with some...
Distributed Fault Detection and Correction Using Shortest Path Mechanism in Wireless Sensor Networks

 existing related algorithm and proven to be more energy efficient. Short-path algorithms generally have polynomial complexity and generally only produce a single path between a source and destination. In shortest path routing, the topology network is represented using a directed weighted graph. The nodes in the graph represent switching elements and the directed arcs in the graph represent communication links between switching elements. Each arc has a weight that represents the cost of sending a packet between two nodes in a particular direction. This cost is generally a positive value that can inculcate such factors as delay, throughput, and error rate, monetary cost etc [7]. A path between two nodes may go through several intermediary nodes and arc. The objective in shortest path routing is to find a path between two nodes that has the smallest total cost, where the total cost of a path is the sum of the arc costs in that path. Shortest-path algorithms can be divided into two classes: distance vector and link state. Distance vector algorithms are based on dynamic programming models and can be implemented in a distributed, asynchronous framework using local cost estimates. The basic distance vector algorithm is known as the Bellman-ford algorithm or the Ford-Fulkerson method. Link state algorithms are usually implemented in a replicated fashion with each switch performing an independent route computation. To perform, link cost estimates are required for every link in the network. The basic link state method is Dijkstra’s algorithm [10]. The Dijkstra’s algorithm builds the local positions and routings of the estimated sensors for applications that require absolute sensor networks that compute absolute coordinates of individual nodes or sub networks independently can be developed. Shortest path method can be extended by applying more advanced MDS techniques. It is the best algorithm to find out shortest path position estimation method in wireless sensor networks. To obtain this, some steps are followed:

1. Deploy N Sensors Randomly Over An Area
2. Select a sender and a receiver
3. Acknowledgement Status
4. Routing
5. Fault Detection
6. Restoring Shortest Path

Fig. 4: Self-Managing Fault Management Mechanism

We assume that sensors are randomly deployed in the interested area which is very dense and all the sensors have a common transmission range. The dark circles in the figure represent faulty sensors and the gray circles are good sensors. There might be a failure occurring in a certain area as illustrated in the figure 5. All sensors in this area go out of service.

Fig. 5: Sensor nodes randomly deployed over an area[10]

As we are depending on majority voting among the sensors, we assume that each sensor node has at least 3 neighboring nodes. Because a large amount of sensors are deployed into the interested area to form a wireless network, this condition can be easily obtained. Each sensor node is able to locate its neighbors within its transmission range via a broadcast/acknowledge protocol. Faults can occur at different levels of the sensor network, such as system software, hardware, physical layer, and middleware. In this mechanism, we focus on hardware level faults by assuming the power supply infrastructure. Sensor nodes are still capable of receiving, sending, and processing when they are faulty in the algorithm.

Let n be the total number of sensor Nodes; p be the probability of failure nodes; q be the neighbor sensors; r be the set of all the sensors; N(r) be the set of the neighbors of r, cor be measurement of r; Dis be measurement difference between r_i and r_j at time t; then

\[
\Delta \text{Dis}_{ij}^t = |\text{Dis}_{ij}^t - \text{Dis}_{ij}^{t-1}| \leq \Delta \text{Dis}_{ij}^t \leq |\text{Dis}_{ij}^t - \text{Dis}_{ij}^{t-1}| + \text{Dis}_{ij}^t; \quad \text{Dis}_{ij}^{t+1} = \text{Dis}_{ij}^t + \Delta \text{Dis}_{ij}^t;
\]

\[
\text{cor}_{ij}^t = \text{cor}_{ij}^{t+1} = (\text{cor}_{ij}^t + \Delta \text{cor}_{ij}^t + \text{cor}_{ij}^{t+1} - \text{cor}_{ij}^t - \text{cor}_{ij}^{t+1});
\]

\[
\Delta \text{Dist}_{ij}^t \leq \Delta \text{Dis}_{ij}^t \quad \text{and} \quad \text{Dis}_{ij}^t \leq \Delta \text{Dist}_{ij}^t + \Delta \text{Dis}_{ij}^t;
\]

\[
\text{Dis}_{ij}^t = \text{Dis}_{ij}^{t+1}; 
\]

\[
\text{Dis}_{ij}^t \geq \theta_1, \text{Dis}_{ij}^t \leq \theta_2,
\]

where T_i be test between r_i and r_j, T_i \in [0, 1], T_i = T_j; \theta_1 and \theta_2 be two predefined threshold values; T_i be tendency value of a sensor, T \in \{LG, LF, GD, FT\}.

Sensors are considered as neighboring sensors if they are within the transmission range of each other. Each node regularly sends its measured value to all its neighbors. We are interested in the history data if more than half of the sensor’s neighbors have a significantly different value from it. We can find the current measurement is different from previous measurement. If the measurements change over the time significantly, it is more likely the sensor is faulty [3]. A test result T_i is generated by sensor r_i based on its neighbor r_j’s measurements using two variables and two predefined threshold value. If a sensor is faulty, it can generate arbitrary measurements. If T_i is 0, most likely either both r_i and r_j are good or both are faulty. Otherwise, if T_i is 1, r_i and r_j are most likely in different status.

Each Sensor id r_i and Receiver id r_j \in N(r_i).

Set T_i = 0 and compute Dis_{ij}.

IF |\text{Dis}_{ij}^t| > \theta_1 THEN T_i = 1 and turn to the next node N(r_i).

IF |\text{Dist}_{ij}^t| < \theta_2 calculate \Delta s_{ij}.

IF |\text{Dis}_{ij}^t| > \theta_2 THEN T_i = 1 and turn to the next node N(r_i).

Repeat above steps until the test results of each node in N(r_i) with r_j all obtained.
IF $\sum_{i \in N(0)} T_{ij} < |N(r_i)|/2$, where $|N(r_i)|$ is the number of neighbors
THEN $T_{r_i}=LG$;
ELSE $T_{r_i}=LF$;
Communicate $T_{r_i}$ to the neighbors.
IF $\sum_{j \in N(r_i)} T_{ij} < |N(r_i)|/2$
THEN $T_{r_i}=GD$;
ELSE $T_{r_i}=FT$;
Communicate $T_{r_i}$ to the neighbors.[3].

The proposed mechanism was implemented with MATLAB. The evaluation results should demonstrate the ability of the mechanism to identify faulty nodes anciently and with limited overheads. An example simulation scenario composed of total 100 sensor nodes which are randomly deployed.
This is the scenario when the sender finds more than 1 route to the receiver. And even after occurrence of a faulty node, the information loss does not intervenes in the route formation. The route is still completed even after a faulty node occurs. This scenario is meant to show the path hopping between sender and receiver. Information can be transferred from more than 1 route also[9].

Fig. 12: Retraced Path After Fault Detection
This is the final scenario. Here, the faults are detected and the route will change accordingly. The system will find the shortest path between sender and receiver despite of fault occurrence.

Fig. 12: Comparison Between No. Of Nodes And Energy Distribution

V. CONCLUSION AND FUTURE SCOPE
In the Distributed Fault Detection (DFD), there are various algorithm to determine the faulty nodes. We assume the case of power failure as there is no recovery techniques in those area. Therefore we have to change the direction of information when transmitted from a Sensor Node to the Receiver Node. This paper has presented a new strategy for power control in WSNs where operational longevity is an issue. As the deployment of Thousand Numbers of Sensor Nodes in Area needs Energy Performance. The new approach provides a methodology for the Retracing of Optimal Path with an Energy Efficiency and Accuracy[1]. This assessment becomes the power performance booster among the previous workout as it automatically determines the shortest path after path hopping is traced A self Management approach links the sensor nodes from the source node to the destination node with in a shortest path and shows distributed accuracy. It is estimated that by the year 2020 more than 100 billion wireless sensors will be deployed for applications as diverse as environmental monitoring, agricultural monitoring, machine health monitoring, surveillance, and medical monitoring.[11-13] These networks, which connect the physical world with the digital world, provide us with a richer understanding of our environment and with the ability to more accurately control our surroundings. However, there are many challenges that must be addressed before the full potential of these networks are realized. Wireless sensor networks must be reliable and scalable to support large numbers of unattended wireless sensors; they must last for extended periods of time using limited battery power; they must be secure against outside attacks on the network and on data fidelity; they must be accurate in providing required information while performing in-network processing to reduce data load; and they must interface with existing networks.
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