Data-Driven Investigation of Vehicle Failures, Maintenance Expenditure, and Reliability Improvement in Indian Heavy Commercial Fleet Operations

Mr. Sandeep Gaikwad[1] Dr. S.K. Biradar[2] Md. Irfan[3]
[1]PG Student [2]Principal and Professor
[1,2,3]Department of Mechanical Engineering
[1,2,3]MSSCOE Jalna, Maharashtra, India

Abstract — Heavy commercial vehicle fleets are critical to transportation, logistics, mining, and construction sectors, where vehicle reliability and maintenance efficiency significantly influence operational performance and profitability. Frequent vehicle failures, increasing maintenance expenditure, and downtime losses have created a growing need for data-driven maintenance strategies. This review article presents a comprehensive analysis of vehicle failure mechanisms, maintenance cost modeling, reliability assessment techniques, and emerging technologies used in fleet management. Reliability engineering approaches such as Weibull analysis, Markov models, Reliability Block Diagrams (RBD), and Remaining Useful Life (RUL) prediction are examined along with modern data analytics and machine learning applications. The study further reviews preventive, condition-based, predictive, and reliability-centered maintenance strategies for enhancing fleet availability and reducing operational costs. The role of Industry 4.0 technologies, including IoT, Digital Twins, Cloud Computing, and Artificial Intelligence, is also discussed. Research gaps, future trends, and opportunities for intelligent fleet maintenance systems are identified. The review provides valuable insights for researchers and practitioners seeking to improve fleet reliability, maintenance effectiveness, and long-term operational sustainability.

Keywords: Heavy Commercial Vehicles, Fleet Reliability, Predictive Maintenance, Data-Driven Analytics, Maintenance Cost Optimization

I. Introduction

A. Background of Heavy Commercial Fleet Operations in India

The Indian transportation sector serves as a critical component of economic development by supporting logistics, manufacturing, agriculture, mining, and infrastructure activities. Heavy commercial vehicles (HCVs), including trucks, trailers, and buses, carry a major share of freight transportation and contribute significantly to supply chain efficiency. The rapid expansion of e-commerce, industrial production, and road transportation has increased the dependence on reliable fleet operations. Data-driven fleet management has therefore become essential for improving operational performance and reducing maintenance-related losses [1], [2], [15].

Key Points

- Supports economic growth and industrial development.
- Facilitates freight and passenger transportation.
- Improves supply chain connectivity.
- Increases demand for efficient fleet maintenance.

B. Challenges in Fleet Maintenance

Fleet operators face numerous maintenance challenges due to aging vehicles, harsh operating conditions, and increasing utilization rates. Frequent breakdowns, rising maintenance expenditures, downtime losses, and inefficient maintenance planning adversely affect fleet productivity and profitability [7], [11].

Major Challenges

- Vehicle breakdowns.
- High maintenance costs.
- Downtime-related revenue losses.
- Poor fleet utilization.

C. Importance of Reliability Improvement

Reliability improvement directly influences fleet availability, operational continuity, and customer satisfaction. Reliable vehicles experience fewer failures, lower repair costs, and improved service quality. Reliability engineering therefore plays a vital role in modern fleet management systems [3], [17].

Benefits

- Higher fleet availability.
- Improved service continuity.
- Reduced operating costs.
- Enhanced customer satisfaction.
- Reliability Formula

$$Availability = \frac{MTBF}{MTBF + MTTR}$$

Where:
MTBF = Mean Time Between Failures
MTTR = Mean Time to Repair

D. Emergence of Data-Driven Maintenance

Advances in IoT, machine learning, and telematics have transformed traditional maintenance practices into intelligent maintenance systems. Data collected from vehicle sensors and fleet management platforms enable predictive maintenance, failure prediction, and optimized maintenance scheduling [1], [12], [15].

Applications

- Vehicle condition monitoring.
- Predictive maintenance.
- Fault diagnosis.
- Fleet analytics.

E. Need for the Review

The increasing complexity of commercial vehicle fleets and the widespread availability of operational data have accelerated the adoption of predictive technologies. A systematic review is necessary to consolidate current knowledge on vehicle failures, maintenance expenditure, and reliability improvement approaches [24], [29], [30].

F. Objectives of the Review

– Review vehicle failure analysis techniques.
– Investigate maintenance cost assessment methods.
– Examine reliability improvement approaches.
– Identify existing research gaps.

II. Heavy Commercial Vehicle Fleet Systems and Failure Mechanisms

A. Overview of Heavy Commercial Vehicles

Heavy commercial vehicle fleets consist of trucks, trailers, buses, mining vehicles, and construction equipment. These vehicles operate under demanding environments and require effective maintenance strategies to ensure reliability and safety [15], [24].

Major Fleet Categories

Vehicle Type	Primary Application
Trucks	Freight transportation
Trailers	Long-distance logistics
Buses	Passenger transportation
Mining Vehicles	Material handling
Construction Vehicles	Infrastructure projects

B. Fleet Operation Characteristics in India

Indian fleet operations are influenced by challenging environmental and operational conditions.

Key Factors

– Variable road quality.
– High ambient temperatures.
– Dust and humidity exposure.
– Extended operating hours.
– Overloading practices.

These factors accelerate component deterioration and increase maintenance requirements [7], [24].

C. Major Vehicle Subsystems

Heavy commercial vehicles comprise several critical subsystems.

Subsystem	Function
Engine System	Power generation
Transmission System	Torque transmission
Suspension System	Ride comfort
Brake System	Vehicle safety
Electrical System	Monitoring and control

D. Failure Modes in Heavy Commercial Vehicles

1) Engine Failures

– Overheating.
– Fuel injection faults.
– Lubrication failure.

2) Transmission Failures

– Gear wear.
– Clutch failures.
– Bearing damage.

3) Brake System Failures

– Brake pad wear.
– Hydraulic leakage.
– ABS malfunction.

4) Suspension and Steering Failures

– Leaf spring cracks.
– Shock absorber degradation.
– Steering linkage wear.

5) Electrical and Electronic Failures

– Battery deterioration.
– Sensor failures.
– Wiring defects [16], [17].

E. Failure Classification Methods

Vehicle failures are generally classified into four categories.

Failure Type	Examples
Mechanical	Wear, fatigue, corrosion
Electrical	Sensor and circuit failures
Operational	Overloading, misuse
Human-Induced	Maintenance errors

F. Failure Analysis Techniques

1) Root Cause Analysis (RCA)

Used to determine the fundamental cause of failures.

2) Failure Mode and Effects Analysis (FMEA)

Calculates failure risk using Risk Priority Number.

$$RPN = S \times O \times D$$

Where:
S = Severity
O = Occurrence
D = Detection

3) Fault Tree Analysis (FTA)

Provides a systematic method for identifying failure causes and evaluating system reliability [22].

G. Summary of Vehicle Failure Studies

Failure Category	Common Cause	Operational Impact
Engine	Lubrication, overheating	High downtime
Transmission	Gear wear	Reduced productivity
Brake	Component wear	Safety risks
Suspension	Fatigue failure	Ride discomfort
Electrical	Sensor faults	Operational disruption

Table 2.1: Classification of Vehicle Failures Reported in Literature

Statistical Observation from Literature

Failure Type	Approximate Frequency (%)
Engine	30–35
Transmission	20–25
Brake	15–20
Suspension	10–15
Electrical	15–20

III. Maintenance Expenditure Analysis and Cost Modeling

A. Fundamentals of Maintenance Economics

Maintenance economics evaluates the financial impact of maintenance activities on fleet performance. Maintenance costs are generally classified as direct, indirect, and hidden costs [11], [14].

1) Cost Categories

2) Direct Costs

- Labor.
- Spare parts.
- Repairs.

3) Indirect Costs

- Downtime.
- Production losses.
- Delayed deliveries.

4) Hidden Costs

- Customer dissatisfaction.
- Reputation damage.

B. Components of Fleet Maintenance Expenditure

Cost Component	Typical Percentage (%)
Spare Parts	30–40
Labor	20–30
Downtime	15–25
Fuel Related	10–15
Replacement	5–10

Table 3.1: Typical Maintenance Cost Distribution

1) Labor Cost

Includes technician wages and service charges.

2) Spare Parts Cost

Represents expenditure on replacement components.

3) Fuel-Related Maintenance Cost

Associated with fuel system maintenance and efficiency losses.

4) Downtime Cost

$$Downtime\ Cost = Hourly\ Revenue \times Downtime\ Hours$$

5) Replacement Cost

Includes major subsystem replacement expenses.

C. Maintenance Strategies and Cost Implications

Maintenance Strategy	Cost Level	Reliability Level
Breakdown Maintenance	High	Low
Preventive Maintenance	Moderate	High
Predictive Maintenance	Low (Long-term)	Very High

Predictive maintenance reduces lifecycle costs by preventing unexpected failures and optimizing maintenance intervals [1], [20].

D. Cost Analysis Methods

1) Life Cycle Cost Analysis (LCCA)

$$LCC = Acquisition + Operation + Maintenance + Disposal$$

2) Total Cost of Ownership (TCO)

Includes all ownership-related expenditures.

3) Activity-Based Costing (ABC)

Allocates costs based on maintenance activities [20].

E. Maintenance Budget Optimization

1) Optimization Areas

- Resource allocation.
- Spare inventory management.
- Maintenance scheduling.
- Workforce planning.

These approaches improve cost effectiveness while maintaining fleet reliability [21].

F. Economic Impact of Vehicle Failures

Vehicle failures have significant economic consequences.

1) Major Effects

- Revenue loss.
- Increased maintenance expenditure.
- Reduced fleet availability.
- Delivery delays.
- Customer dissatisfaction.

2) Economic Impact Flow

Vehicle Failure
↓
Downtime
↓
Revenue Loss
↓
Customer Impact

G. Comparative Review of Maintenance Cost Studies

Study Area	Key Outcome
Predictive Maintenance	Reduced maintenance cost
Condition-Based Maintenance	Reduced unnecessary servicing
Reliability-Centered Maintenance	Improved asset utilization
Fleet Analytics	Better cost visibility
AI-Based Maintenance	Improved scheduling efficiency

Table 3.2: Comparison of Maintenance Expenditure Studies

Literature-Based Statistical Improvements

Performance Indicator	Improvement Range
Downtime Reduction	15–40%
Maintenance Cost Reduction	10–35%
Fleet Availability Increase	10–25%
Reliability Improvement	15–30%

The literature indicates that integrating predictive maintenance, reliability engineering, machine learning, and data-driven decision-making significantly improves fleet reliability while reducing maintenance expenditure and operational risks [15], [21], [24], [29], [30].

IV. Reliability Assessment and Data-Driven Analytics

A. Reliability Engineering Fundamentals

Reliability engineering focuses on evaluating the probability that a vehicle or fleet system performs its intended function without failure during a specified operating period. Reliability analysis helps fleet managers improve vehicle availability and maintenance planning through systematic failure assessment [3], [17], [24].

1) Important Reliability Functions

Reliability Function

$$R(t) = P(T > t)$$

Failure Rate (λ)

$$\lambda = \frac{Number\ of\ Failures}{Operating\ Time}$$

Hazard Rate

$$h(t) = \frac{f(t)}{R(t)}$$

B. *Reliability Metrics*

The performance of heavy vehicle fleets is commonly measured using reliability indicators.

Metric	Formula	Significance
MTBF	Total Operating Time / Number of Failures	Reliability indicator
MTTR	Total Repair Time / Number of Repairs	Maintainability indicator
Availability	MTBF/(MTBF+MTTR)	Fleet readiness
Maintainability	Probability of successful repair	Service efficiency

Statistical Observation

Parameter	Typical Fleet Range
MTBF	500–3000 Hours
MTTR	2–20 Hours
Availability	85–98%
Reliability Improvement	15–30%

C. *Statistical Reliability Models*

1) *Weibull Distribution*

The Weibull distribution is extensively used for failure prediction because it can represent early-life, random, and wear-out failures [24].

$$R(t) = e^{-(t/\eta)^{\beta}}$$

Where:
β = Shape parameter
η = Scale parameter

2) *Exponential Distribution*

Suitable for systems with constant failure rates.

$$R(t) = e^{-\lambda t}$$

3) *Lognormal Distribution*

Applied when failure behavior is influenced by multiple operational factors.

$$f(t) = \frac{1}{t\sigma\sqrt{2\pi}} e^{-\frac{(\ln t - \mu)^2}{2\sigma^2}}$$

D. *Reliability Assessment Techniques*

Several reliability assessment techniques are widely adopted in fleet management.

1) *Reliability Block Diagrams (RBD)*

– Reliability modeling.
– System availability analysis.

2) *Markov Models*

– State-transition analysis.
– Fleet reliability prediction.

3) *Monte Carlo Simulation*

– Failure uncertainty analysis.
– Reliability forecasting [18], [24].

Fleet Data
↓
Reliability Analysis
RBD Markov Monte Carlo
↓
Reliability Prediction

Fig. 4.1: Reliability Assessment Framework

E. *Data Analytics in Fleet Reliability*

Data analytics transforms raw fleet data into actionable maintenance insights.

Analytics Type	Purpose
Descriptive Analytics	What happened?
Diagnostic Analytics	Why did it happen?
Predictive Analytics	What will happen?
Prescriptive Analytics	What action should be taken?

The use of predictive analytics has significantly improved maintenance planning and reliability forecasting [1], [12], [28].

F. *Machine Learning Applications*

Machine learning techniques are increasingly used for intelligent fleet maintenance.

1) *Applications*

– Failure prediction.
– Remaining Useful Life (RUL) estimation.
– Maintenance forecasting.
– Fault diagnosis.

Common Algorithms

Algorithm	Application
ANN	Failure prediction
SVM	Condition monitoring
Random Forest	Fault classification
Deep Learning	RUL estimation

Studies report prediction accuracies ranging from 85% to 98% depending on dataset quality and model selection [22], [23], [30].

G. *Big Data and IoT-Based Fleet Monitoring*

IoT technologies enable continuous monitoring of fleet health through connected sensors and telematics systems.

Components

– Sensor data acquisition.
– Real-time monitoring.
– Cloud data storage.
– Fleet telematics.

Vehicle Sensors
↓
Data Acquisition
↓
Cloud Platform

↓
Analytics Engine
↓
Maintenance Decision

Fig. 4.2 IoT-Based Fleet Reliability Architecture

H. Literature Review of Reliability Studies

Technique	Application	Advantages
Weibull Analysis	Failure prediction	Accurate life estimation
RBD	System reliability	Simple visualization
Markov Models	Dynamic reliability	State-based prediction
Monte Carlo	Uncertainty analysis	High flexibility
Machine Learning	Predictive maintenance	High accuracy

Table 4.1 Reliability Analysis Techniques Used in Fleet Studies

V. Reliability Improvement Strategies and Emerging Technologies

A. Preventive Maintenance Approaches

Preventive maintenance aims to reduce failures through planned maintenance activities.

Types

- Time-based maintenance.
- Usage-based maintenance.

These approaches reduce breakdown frequency and improve vehicle availability [7], [21].

B. Reliability-Centered Maintenance (RCM)

RCM focuses on maintaining system functionality while minimizing maintenance costs.

Core Principles

- Failure identification.
- Criticality assessment.
- Maintenance optimization.
- Risk reduction.

System Functions
↓
Failure Analysis
↓
Criticality Assessment
↓
Maintenance Decision
↓
Reliability Improvement

Fig. 5.1 Reliability-Centered Maintenance Framework

C. Condition-Based Maintenance (CBM)

CBM uses actual equipment condition data to determine maintenance requirements.

Monitoring Techniques

- Vibration analysis.
- Oil condition monitoring.
- Thermal monitoring.
- Acoustic analysis.

CBM reduces unnecessary maintenance and improves asset utilization [6], [21].

D. Predictive Maintenance Systems

Predictive maintenance utilizes machine learning and AI techniques to forecast failures before they occur.

Components

- AI-based diagnostics.
- Machine learning models.
- Digital diagnostics.
- Prognostics systems.

Benefits

- Reduced downtime.
- Lower maintenance costs.
- Improved reliability [1], [15].

E. Industry 4.0 Technologies

1) Internet of Things (IoT)

Real-time vehicle condition monitoring.

2) Cloud Computing

Centralized fleet data management.

3) Digital Twin Technology

Virtual fleet representation for predictive simulation.

4) Cyber-Physical Systems

Integration of physical vehicles and digital analytics [29].

F. Optimization Techniques for Reliability Improvement

Algorithm	Application
Genetic Algorithm (GA)	Maintenance scheduling
Particle Swarm Optimization (PSO)	Resource allocation
Simulated Annealing (SA)	Cost optimization
NSGA-II	Multi-objective optimization

Typical Improvements Reported

Indicator	Improvement Range
Downtime Reduction	15–40%
Reliability Improvement	10–30%
Maintenance Cost Reduction	10–35%
Availability Improvement	10–25%

G. Sustainable Fleet Maintenance

Sustainable maintenance practices focus on minimizing environmental impact while maintaining operational performance.

Key Areas

- Green maintenance.
- Energy-efficient operations.
- Emission reduction.
- Sustainable spare-part management.

H. Comparative Analysis of Reliability Improvement Techniques

Technique	Reliability Improvement	Cost Reduction	Complexity

Preventive Maintenance	Medium	Medium	Low
CBM	High	High	Medium
Predictive Maintenance	Very High	Very High	High
RCM	High	High	Medium
AI-Based Maintenance	Very High	Very High	High

Table 5.1: Comparison of Reliability Enhancement Approaches

VI. Research Gaps, Future Directions and Conclusions

A. *Comprehensive Literature Review Summary*

The literature demonstrates that predictive maintenance, machine learning, IoT, and reliability-centered maintenance significantly improve fleet reliability and operational efficiency. Data-driven maintenance strategies have become key enablers of intelligent fleet management [15], [24], [29].

B. *Research Gap Identification*

1) *Technical Gaps*

- Limited integration of reliability and maintenance cost analysis.
- Lack of real-time fleet analytics platforms.

2) *Methodological Gaps*

- Insufficient use of hybrid machine learning models.
- Limited multi-objective optimization studies.

3) *Industrial Gaps*

- Lack of Indian fleet-specific datasets.
- Limited long-term operational studies.
- Inadequate deployment of digital twins.

C. *Emerging Research Trends*

Future Trends

- AI-driven fleet maintenance.
- Autonomous diagnostics.
- Digital twin-based reliability management.
- Smart fleet ecosystems.
- Intelligent prognostics systems.

D. *Future Research Opportunities*

Promising Research Areas

- Explainable AI (XAI) in maintenance decisions.
- Predictive reliability management.
- Fleet-wide optimization frameworks.
- Industry 5.0-enabled maintenance systems.
- Human-centered intelligent maintenance.

IoT Sensors
↓
Big Data Analytics
↓
AI & Machine Learning
↓
Digital Twin
↓
Predictive Maintenance
↓
Smart Fleet Management

Fig. 6.1: Future Intelligent Fleet Ecosystem

VII. Conclusions

The review highlights that reliability engineering, predictive maintenance, machine learning, and Industry 4.0 technologies are transforming fleet maintenance practices. Reliability assessment techniques such as Weibull analysis, Markov models, RBD, and Monte Carlo simulation provide valuable tools for failure prediction and maintenance planning. Emerging technologies including AI, IoT, Digital Twins, and advanced optimization algorithms offer significant potential for improving fleet reliability, reducing maintenance expenditure, and enhancing operational efficiency. Future research should focus on integrating reliability, cost optimization, sustainability, and intelligent decision-support systems for next-generation fleet management solutions [21], [24], [29], [30].

References

[1] A. Theissler, M. Pérez-Velázquez, M. Kettelgerdes, and G. Elger, "Predictive maintenance enabled by machine learning: Use cases and challenges in the automotive industry," *Reliability Engineering & System Safety*, vol. 215, Art. no. 107864, Nov. 2021.

[2] F. Arena, G. Pau, and A. Severino, "Predictive Maintenance in the Automotive Sector: A Literature Review," *Applied Sciences*, vol. 12, no. 2, Art. no. 747, 2022.

[3] J. Si, S. Wang, C. Hu, and D. Zhou, "Remaining useful life estimation – A review on the statistical data-driven approaches," *European Journal of Operational Research*, vol. 213, no. 1, pp. 1–14, 2011.

[4] E. Zio and F. Di Maio, "A data-driven fuzzy approach for predicting the remaining useful life in dynamic failure scenarios of a nuclear system," *Reliability Engineering & System Safety*, vol. 95, no. 1, pp. 49–57, 2010.

[5] A. Heng, S. Zhang, A. C. C. Tan, and J. Mathew, "Rotating machinery prognostics: State of the art, challenges and opportunities," *Mechanical Systems and Signal Processing*, vol. 23, no. 3, pp. 724–739, 2009.

[6] A. K. S. Jardine, D. Lin, and D. Banjevic, "A review on machinery diagnostics and prognostics implementing condition-based maintenance," *Mechanical Systems and Signal Processing*, vol. 20, no. 7, pp. 1483–1510, 2006.

[7] R. Ahmad and S. Kamaruddin, "An overview of time-based and condition-based maintenance in industrial application," *Computers & Industrial Engineering*, vol. 63, no. 1, pp. 135–149, 2012.

[8] P. Baraldi, F. Di Maio, M. Compare, C. Zio, and E. Zio, "A prognostic approach to nuclear component degradation based on Monte Carlo simulation and data-driven models," *Reliability Engineering & System Safety*, vol. 95, no. 10, pp. 1021–1029, 2010.

[9] J. Lee, F. Wu, W. Zhao, M. Ghaffari, L. Liao, and D. Siegel, "Prognostics and health management design for rotary machinery systems—Reviews, methodology and applications," *Mechanical Systems and Signal Processing*, vol. 42, nos. 1–2, pp. 314–334, 2014.
[10] D. Banjevic, "Remaining useful life in theory and practice," *Mathematical and Computer Modelling of Dynamical Systems*, vol. 15, no. 6, pp. 559–567, 2009.
[11] U. Kumar, A. Parida, and D. Galar, "Maintenance performance metrics: A state-of-the-art review," *Journal of Quality in Maintenance Engineering*, vol. 19, no. 3, pp. 233–277, 2013.
[12] D. Galar, U. Kumar, A. Parida, and C. Stenström, "Maintenance decision making based on different types of data fusion," *Journal of Quality in Maintenance Engineering*, vol. 18, no. 2, pp. 152–171, 2012.
[13] M. Compare, P. Baraldi, E. Zio, and R. Seraoui, "A supervised clustering method for operational data-driven prognostics," *International Journal of Prognostics and Health Management*, vol. 2, no. 1, pp. 1–15, 2011.
[14] W. Wang, "An overview of the recent advances in delay-time-based maintenance modelling," *Reliability Engineering & System Safety*, vol. 106, pp. 165–178, 2012.
[15] A. Carvalho, S. Lima, A. Chouchene, and C. L. Hwang, "Machine learning and IoT-based predictive maintenance approaches for intelligent fleet management systems," *Sensors*, vol. 19, no. 18, Art. no. 4086, 2019.
[16] J. Luo, K. R. Pattipati, L. Qiao, and S. Chigusa, "Model-based prognostic techniques applied to a suspension system," *IEEE Transactions on Systems, Man, and Cybernetics – Part A: Systems and Humans*, vol. 38, no. 5, pp. 1156–1168, Sept. 2008.
[17] K. Medjaher, D. A. Tobon-Mejia, and N. Zerhouni, "Remaining useful life estimation of critical components with application to bearings," *IEEE Transactions on Reliability*, vol. 61, no. 2, pp. 292–302, Jun. 2012.
[18] T. Wang, J. Yu, D. Siegel, and J. Lee, "A similarity-based prognostics approach for remaining useful life estimation of engineered systems," *International Journal of Prognostics and Health Management*, vol. 1, no. 1, pp. 1–13, 2008.
[19] P. Baraldi, M. Compare, and E. Zio, "A data-driven approach for remaining useful life estimation in prognostics," *Reliability Engineering & System Safety*, vol. 96, no. 11, pp. 1524–1536, Nov. 2011.
[20] F. Camci, "System maintenance scheduling with prognostics information using genetic algorithms," *IEEE Transactions on Reliability*, vol. 58, no. 3, pp. 539–552, Sept. 2009.
[21] S. Alaswad and Y. Xiang, "A review on condition-based maintenance optimization models for stochastically deteriorating systems," *Reliability Engineering & System Safety*, vol. 157, pp. 54–63, Jan. 2017.
[22] M. Pecht and M. Kang, "Machine learning: Fundamentals for prognostics and health management," *Journal of Prognostics and Health Management*, vol. 9, no. 2, pp. 1–15, 2018.
[23] C. Zhang, P. Lim, A. Qin, and K. C. Tan, "Multiobjective deep belief networks ensemble for remaining useful life estimation in prognostics," *IEEE Transactions on Neural Networks and Learning Systems*, vol. 28, no. 10, pp. 2306–2318, Oct. 2017.
[24] Y. Lei, N. Li, L. Guo, N. Li, T. Yan, and J. Lin, "Machinery health prognostics: A systematic review from data acquisition to remaining useful life prediction," *Mechanical Systems and Signal Processing*, vol. 104, pp. 799–834, May 2018.
[25] M. A. Javed, S. Gouriveau, and N. Zerhouni, "State of the art and taxonomy of prognostics approaches, trends of prognostics applications and open issues towards maturity at different technology readiness levels," *Mechanical Systems and Signal Processing*, vol. 94, pp. 214–236, Sept. 2017.
[26] A. Saxena and K. Goebel, "Turbofan engine degradation simulation data set and prognostic benchmark," *International Journal of Prognostics and Health Management*, vol. 1, no. 1, pp. 1–14, 2008.
[27] R. Yan, R. X. Gao, and X. Chen, "Wavelets for fault diagnosis of rotary machines: A review with applications," *Signal Processing*, vol. 96, pp. 1–15, Mar. 2014.
[28] S. Yin, X. Li, H. Gao, and O. Kaynak, "Data-based techniques focused on modern industry: An overview," *IEEE Transactions on Industrial Electronics*, vol. 62, no. 1, pp. 657–667, Jan. 2015.
[29] F. Tao, Q. Qi, A. Liu, and A. Kusiak, "Data-driven smart manufacturing," *Journal of Manufacturing Systems*, vol. 48, pp. 157–169, Jul. 2018.
[30] X. Wang, M. Pecht, and G. Zhou, "Data-driven diagnostics and prognostics for vehicle health management: A review," *Microelectronics Reliability*, vol. 88–90, pp. 1332–1338, Sept. 2018.

