Fast Fashion vs. Sustainable Fashion: An Economic Textual Analysis

Samaira Gupta
Sat Paul Mittal School, India

Abstract — This paper explores the economic implications of fast fashion versus sustainable fashion by examining secondary data sources, including academic studies, industry reports, and news articles. Fast fashion is characterized by rapid production cycles, low costs, and affordability, appealing particularly to younger consumers. However, this model contributes to significant environmental degradation and labor exploitation. Sustainable fashion, by contrast, emphasizes environmental preservation, ethical labor practices, and long-term economic sustainability, yet faces challenges in scaling due to higher production costs. Through comparative analysis, this paper aims to identify the economic trade-offs and societal impacts associated with both fast and sustainable fashion. Findings suggest that while fast fashion offers immediate economic benefits, sustainable fashion provides a viable long-term alternative with potential environmental and social advantages. The paper concludes with policy recommendations to balance economic growth with environmental responsibility in the fashion industry.

Keywords: Fast Fashion, Sustainable Fashion, Textual Analysis

I. Introduction

Fast fashion is a contemporary approach to designing, creating, and marketing clothing that emphasizes making current trends available to consumers quickly and affordably. Over recent years, fast fashion brands have established themselves by allowing consumers to purchase designer-inspired clothing at minimal prices. However, these marketing strategies not only aim to capture consumer interest but also significantly shape global consumer behavior, promoting the notion that outfit repetition should be avoided. In contrast, greater awareness of sustainable fashion among consumers could shift demand toward eco-friendly alternatives. Currently, fast fashion continues to dominate, largely overshadowing sustainable fashion—a term that represents industry efforts to reduce environmental impacts, protect garment workers, and uphold animal welfare. Social media has played an influential role in this arena, helping to spread awareness of sustainable fashion due to its high-speed data sharing and cost-effectiveness (World Resources Institute).

The primary objective of this paper is to explore and compare the economic impacts of fast fashion and sustainable fashion through the analysis of secondary data. It will highlight the economic consequences associated with fast fashion, contrast them with those of sustainable fashion, and provide insights into the key differences in their economic outcomes.

II. Literature Review

The fashion industry has evolved significantly over the past three decades, largely due to advances in manufacturing techniques. A pivotal shift has occurred, moving from sustainable practices to fast fashion as the predominant trend. Fast fashion, a business model that replicates high-fashion designs at a low cost, allows for the mass production of clothing based on recent catwalk trends, bringing items to market while consumer demand is at its peak. Influencers and bloggers have contributed to this shift by encouraging consumers to adopt fast fashion styles (Kings Research).

Many developing economies are economically dependent on fast fashion, as ready-made garment exports form a substantial portion of their earnings. For instance, China, the world's largest textile producer, produces nearly 100 million tonnes of textile waste annually. By 2030, fast fashion is projected to contribute approximately $192 billion to the global economy (World Resources Institute). Despite its economic contributions, fast fashion has significant environmental drawbacks. For example, producing a single pair of jeans generates greenhouse gas emissions equivalent to driving a car over 80 miles. Non-biodegradable clothing items can remain in landfills for up to 200 years, occupying space and releasing harmful pollutants. Additionally, a single cotton shirt requires approximately 2,700 liters of water, intensifying global water shortages (World Resources Institute).

Socially, fast fashion's impacts are evident in the poor working conditions many garment workers endure. In Bangladesh, garment workers earn around $96 monthly, yet government guidelines suggest they need nearly three times that amount to maintain a basic standard of living. In 2018, the U.S. Department of Labor reported instances of forced and child labor in the fashion industry across various countries, including Bangladesh, India, and Turkey (U.S. Department of Labor).

In contrast, sustainable fashion initiatives focus on minimizing environmental impact, promoting fair labor practices, and ensuring animal welfare. Sustainability efforts within fashion encompass reducing CO_2 emissions, limiting overproduction, addressing pollution and waste, preserving biodiversity, and advocating for safe working conditions with fair wages (Greenpeace).

III. Methodology

This paper uses secondary data sources to provide a comparative analysis of fast fashion and sustainable fashion from an economic perspective. Data was gathered from academic articles, news reports, and industry studies published within the last five years, including resources such as *A Study on Fast Fashion Sustainability: A Qualitative Inquiry* and Greenpeace's *Destination Zero: Seven Years of Detoxing the Clothing Industry*. Selection criteria included the relevance, recency, and global applicability of each source, with a particular focus on studies addressing economic aspects within current contexts (European Commission).

The economic impact analysis encompasses factors such as employment rates, revenue contributions, environmental costs, manufacturing expenses, and societal impacts. By examining these themes within the context of both fast and sustainable fashion, the paper aims to identify

patterns and assess which model presents more benefits to growing economies while considering long-term environmental effects.

IV. Economic Impact of Fast Fashion

The rapid growth of fast fashion has fostered a consumer culture where clothing is frequently discarded as new trends emerge. Advanced production technologies enable companies to manufacture garments swiftly, resulting in shortened market cycles. In developing countries such as India, Vietnam, and Thailand, garment production often relies on low-cost labor, with predominantly female workers enduring long hours under poor working conditions to meet production demands (World Resources Institute).

Companies in the fast fashion industry, including H&M Group, Inditex (owner of Zara), and Primark, employ various strategies to capture a significant market share, such as joint ventures and e-commerce expansions. For example, C&A, part of COFRA Holding, has expanded its presence on online platforms like Zalando, benefiting from Fulfillment Solutions to improve customer experience. Zara, a leading brand under Inditex, held an estimated brand value of $15 billion in 2022. Another prominent player, H&M, had a market valuation of approximately $12.7 billion, while Primark, known for affordable clothing, was valued at £1.1 billion (Kings Research).

Fast fashion's impact goes beyond changing trends; it involves rapid production and the disposal of garments after minimal use. For instance, in the BBC documentary *Breaking Fashion* (2020), the Manchester-based company *In the Style* replicated a bodysuit worn by celebrity Kylie Jenner within ten days, illustrating the fast-paced response to consumer demand. Greenpeace's 2010-2011 investigation in China's Yangtze River region revealed significant environmental pollution from chemicals such as nonylphenol, tributyl phosphate, and toluene, which harm aquatic ecosystems and potentially human health through bioaccumulation (Greenpeace).

The production of textiles is resource-intensive. Around 3,000 liters of water is needed to manufacture a single cotton shirt, and approximately 10,000 liters are used per kilogram of cotton. Electricity use is also substantial, with polyamide production requiring about 160 kWh per kilogram of fiber. In Cambodia, the fashion industry contributes to 60% of water pollution and 34% of chemical pollution. A substantial portion of fabric, up to 15%, is wasted during production, exacerbating environmental costs (World Resources Institute).

V. Economic Impact of Sustainable Fashion

The sustainable fashion market is gaining traction as consumer awareness grows, driving demand for ethically produced clothing. Although market size estimates for sustainable fashion are less definitive, a significant shift towards sustainable practices within the broader apparel sector is evident. Brands like Patagonia, Everlane, and Reformation lead the sustainable fashion movement, emphasizing eco-friendly materials, ethical sourcing, and supply chain transparency (Kings Research).

Sustainable fashion has the potential to create jobs in ethical manufacturing, supporting fair wages and safe working conditions. Moreover, this sector promotes innovation, with new biodegradable materials and alternative business models (e.g., clothing rental services) offering potential long-term economic benefits. The production of sustainable clothing also releases fewer harmful chemicals, reducing its environmental footprint over time (European Commission).

Despite its benefits, sustainable fashion incurs higher production costs due to the use of quality materials and ethical labor practices. These costs translate into higher retail prices, which may hinder consumer adoption. Additionally, scaling sustainable practices remains challenging, as it requires significant investments in sustainable technology, skilled labor, and efficient supply chains (Kings Research).

VI. Comparative Analysis

The economic trade-offs between fast fashion and sustainable fashion involve various factors, such as employment rates, revenue generation, environmental costs, and social implications. Fast fashion offers affordability and accessibility, contributing significantly to GDP in manufacturing countries. However, its environmental impact—such as high waste levels, water consumption, and pollution—is substantial. In contrast, sustainable fashion supports long-term ecological preservation and fair labor practices, albeit with higher production costs and scalability challenges.

Case studies illustrate these trade-offs. While fast fashion brands capitalize on trends and affordability, sustainable brands invest in quality, longevity, and environmental stewardship, promoting products with extended lifespans and reduced waste (World Resources Institute).

VII. Discussion

The comparative analysis reveals that while fast fashion provides immediate economic benefits through affordability and rapid production, it poses long-term environmental and social costs. Sustainable fashion, though currently costlier, offers an alternative that aligns with ecological and ethical values, potentially fostering a more resilient economy. Policymakers may consider promoting sustainable practices through regulations, incentives for ethical manufacturing, and public awareness campaigns. Social media platforms could play a key role in fostering a culture of sustainability by promoting eco-friendly brands and responsible consumption habits (European Commission).

VIII. Conclusion

This research highlights the economic dichotomy between fast fashion and sustainable fashion. While fast fashion continues to drive consumer behavior with its low-cost, trend-focused model, it incurs considerable environmental and societal costs. Sustainable fashion, conversely, represents a growing shift toward ethical consumption but faces scalability issues. Balancing economic growth with environmental responsibility requires a collective effort from governments, businesses, and consumers alike. In support of

this, the European Commission's 2022 *EU Strategy for Sustainable and Circular Textiles* envisions a future where all textiles are durable, repairable, and recyclable. This initiative encourages companies to minimize their environmental footprint while supporting human and labor rights within the fashion industry.

REFERENCE

[1] European Commission. *EU Strategy for Sustainable and Circular Textiles*. March 2022. ec.europa.eu, https://environment.ec.europa.eu/strategy/textiles-strategy_en
[2] Greenpeace. *Destination Zero: Seven Years of Detoxing the Clothing Industry*. Greenpeace International, 2011, www.greenpeace.org/international/publication/destination-zero
[3] Kings Research. *Fast Fashion Market Report*. Kings Research, 2023, www.kingsresearch.com/fast-fashion-market-136
[4] The Business Research Company. "Fast Fashion Global Market Report." *The Business Research Company*, 2022, www.thebusinessresearchcompany.com/report/fast-fashion-global-market-report
[5] U.S. Department of Labor. "List of Goods Produced by Child Labor or Forced Labor." U.S. Department of Labor, Bureau of International Labor Affairs, 2018, www.dol.gov/agencies/ilab/reports/child-labor/list-of-goods
[6] World Resources Institute. "The Numbers: Economic, Social, and Environmental Impacts of Fast Fashion." *World Resources Institute*, 2021, www.wri.org/insights/numbers-economic-social-and-environmental-impacts-fast-fashion

