A Review of a Comparative Study for Fake News Prediction Using Machine Learning

Purnima Kashyap[1] Pallavee Jaiswal[2]
[1]M.Tech Scholar [2]Assistant Professor
[1,2]Department of Electronics and Communication Engineering
[1,2]Dr. C. V. Raman University Bilaspur, India

Abstract— The problem of fake news is increasing rapidly all over the world. Face book, what's app, Twitter, Instagram and other digital platforms are increasingly being used to spread fake news. Due to which differences are being created between different communities, castes and religions. The problem of false news is increasing so much that it is not known which news is true and which is false. People accept something without checking it and Like, Share, comment due to which fake news goes on increasing very fast. In this paper we study about the topic of detecting fake news. Different classifiers are used to identify fake news. Our aim is to detect fake news and reduce fake news spreading rapidly.

Keywords: Fake News Prediction, Machine Learning

I. INTRODUCTION

The news of false news is increasing so fast; this problem is dominating us in a bad way. The problem of fake news has increased so much that it is not known what the truth is and what fake news is. Such news is meant to mislead the people whose purpose is only to spread unrest which can lead to riots as soon as people read an article or see a post in a digital platform they share that article further without examining them to whom this problem spreads rapidly, it can have serious side effects. This problem is also increasing so much because ever since there has been more use of internet in our country, it has been used more and people using internet are also increasing continuously in our country. Due to the spread of fake news there is an atmosphere of unrest in the country and in the society people are scared and scared they do not know what is going to happen and this encourages those who spread such fake news. Only by looking at the articles you can understand that this is the right news the wrong problem is that most of the people accept his words as true because the sentiment is that if it is written on the internet then it will be true. Other researchers have worked on the fake news detection. The result has been extracted using algorithms like Random Forest, SVM, KNN, Decision tree and model has been prepared using all these algorithms but we haven't got the accurate results. Passive-Aggressive Algorithm is a very good algorithm which provides good results.

II. LITERATURE REVIEW

Colorful aspects are present in a news composition similar as source, publisher, writing style, image any other changes made in it, any of these aspects leads to aggressive action this type of deceptive action is called fake news. A lot of exploration has been done and is coming forward to descry fake news.

A. Affiliated work on Fake news prediction

In this paper in the time 2017 The Authors Wang, W.Y. in their paper suggested deception discovery using labeled standard data set 'LIAR' with apparent bettered effectiveness in discovery of fake posts news. The Authors argued the use of corpus for bracket of station, scuttlebutt discovery, and political NLP exploration.

In this paper in the time 2015 The Authors Chen, Y,N.J.,et.al have described Tabloidization in the form of Click baiting. They've described Click baiting as a form of rapid-fire dispersion of misinformation online. The authors have bandied implicit styles for automatic discovery of click bait as a form of deception. Content cues which includes semantic position of analysis where enforced by the authors.

In the time 2019, Gill, P Suggested Social media includes websites and programs that are devoted to forums, social websites, micro blogging, social bookmarking and wikis. On the other side, some experimenters consider the fake news as a result of unintentional effect similar as educational shock or unwitting conduct like what happed in Nepal Earthquake case. In 2020, there was wide fake news relating health that had exposed global health at threat. The WHO released a warning during early February 2020 that the COVID- 19 outbreak has caused massive 'infodemic', or a spurt of real and fake news which included lots of misinformation.

In this paper in the time 2017, Gilda's. used naïve Bayes classifier to descry fake news by Naive Bayes. This system was performed as a software frame and experimented it with colorful records from the Facebook, etc., performing in a delicacy of 74. The paper neglected the punctuation crimes, performing in poor delicacy

In this paper in the time 2017 Veronica Perez- Rosas et al.used to distinguish Twitter spam senders. Among the colorful models used are the naive Bayes algorithms, the clustering, and the decision tree. The delicacy normal of detecting spammers is 70 and fraudsters71.2. The models used have achieved a low position of intermediate perfection to separate spammers from non-spam. linked fake news in different ways. The delicacy is limited to 76 as a language model. Greater delicacy can be achieved if a prophetic model is used.

In this paper Nguyen Vo pupil of Ho Chi Minh City University of Technology Cambodia did his exploration on fake news discovery and enforced in 2017. He used Bi-directional GRU with Attention medium in his design fake news discovery; Yang et al. firstly, proposed this medium.

In this paper Mykhailo Graniket.al. shows a simple standpoint for fake news discovery using Naive Bayes Classifier. This standpoint was enforced as a software system and tested against a data set of Facebook news posts.

In this paper Cody Buntainet.al. develops a system for automating fake news discovery they apply Twitter content sourced from BuzzFeed fake news dataset.

III. PROBLEM IDENTIFICATION

The problem of fake news is increasing rapidly across the country for some Like, Subscribe, comment often sensational news is made and spread through social media some news in this may be true. This may also include heading web pages with misleading title or taglines to attract reader's attention. This type of wrong news can lead to riots. The main problem in this is identifying the authenticity of news and online content and identifying bots involved in spreading fake news.

IV. CONCLUSION

Due to the increasing popularity of social media most people use social media instead of traditional news social media is also used to spread fake news. Posing a challenge in the classification of fake news in social media networks. In recent days a lot of research is being done to detect fake news achieve maximum accuracy by using various algorithm. Researchers different their model using different algorithms to detect fake news. NaiveBayesClassifier, Decision tree, SVM, KNN, Random forest classifier have been used to detect spurious communications but they depend on the unreliable probability range with an accuracy of 85-90%. We concluded that most of the research papers used different algorithms and the prediction accuracy was between 70-80% they mostly use analysis based on sentimental title, word, frequency. In our view we propose to combine these methods and think about using other algorithms to create a model so that we can get the right accuracy.

REFERENCE

[1] Wang, W. Y. (2017). " liar, liar pants on fire": A new benchmark dataset for fake news detection. Ar Xiv preprint arXiv:1705.00648.
[2] Chen, Y., Conroy, N. J., & Rubin, V. L. (2015, November). Misleading online content: Recognizing clickbait as false news. In Proceedings of the 2015 ACM on Workshop on Multimodal Deception Detection (pp. 15-19). ACM.
[3] Economic and Social Research Council. Using Social media. Available at: https://esrc.ukri.org/research/impact-toolkit/social-media/using-social-media
[4] Gil, P. Available at: https://www.lifewire.com/what-exactly-is-twitter-2483331. 2019, April 22.
[5] Researchgate.net. Available at: Available at: https://www.researchgate.net/figure/Pseudocode-of-naive-bayes-algorithm_fig2_325937073. 2018.
[6] Researchgate.net. Available at: https://www.researchgate.net/figure/Pseudocode-for-KNN- classification_fig7_260397165, 2014.
[7] Rampersad G, Althiyabi T 2020 "Fake news: Acceptance by demographics and culture on social media" J. Inf. Technol. Politics 2020, 17, 1–11.
[8] Huang, T.-Q. (n.d.) https://www.researchgate.net/figure/Pseudo-code-of-information-gain-based- recursive-feature-elimination-procedure-with-SVM_fig2_228366941 2018
[9] E. C. Tandoc Jr et al. "Defining fake news a typology of scholarly definitions". Digital Journalism, 1–17. 2017.
[10] J. Radianti et al. "An Overview of Public Concerns During the Recovery Period after a Major Earthquake: Nepal Twitter Analysis." HICSS '16 Proceedings of the 2016 49th Hawaii.
[11] Naphaporn Sirikulviriya; Sukree Sinthupinyo. "Integration of Rules from a Random Forest." International Conference on Information and Electronics Engineering (p. 194: 198). Singapore:
[12] https://www.engadget.com/2018/12/10/more-people-get-news-from -social-media-than-newspapers/
[13] Helmstetter, S., & Paulheim, H. (2018, August). Weakly supervised learning for fake news detection on Twitter. In 2018 IEEE/ACM International Conference on Advances in Social Networks Analysis and Mining (ASONAM) (pp. 274-277). IEEE.
[14] Bovet, Alexandre, and Hernán A. Makse. "Influence of fake news in Twitter during the 2016 US presidential election." Nature communications 10.1 (2019): 1-14. The science of fake news
[15] N. Cristianini and J.Shawe-Taylor, An Introduction to Support Vector Machines and Other Kernel-Based Learning Methods, Cambridge University Press, Cambridge,UK,2000.
[16] Gilda, S. "Evaluating machine learning algorithms for fake news detection." 15th Student Conference on Research and Development (SCOReD) (pp. 110-115). IEEE. 2017.
[17] Veronica Perez-Rosaset al. Available at: https://www.researchgate.net/publication/319255985_Automatic_Detection_of_Fake_NewsAugust, 2017.

